



NOTICE OF GRANT AND AGREEMENT AWARD

1. Award Identifying Number NR233A750004G079	2. Amendment Number	3. Award /Project Period Date of final signature - 08/07/2028	4. Type of award instrument: Grant Agreement
5. Agency (Name and Address) USDA Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities c/o FPAC-BC Grants and Agreements Division 1400 Independence Ave SW, Room 3236 Washington, DC 20250 Direct all correspondence to FPAC.BC.GAD@usda.gov		6. Recipient Organization (Name and Address) CHEYENNE ARAPAHO TRIBE PO BOX 8 CONCHO OK 73022-0008 UEI Number / DUNS Number: K26TL2SG17E7 / 145309993 EIN:	
7. NRCS Program Contact Name: MUSTAPHA ABOUALI	8. NRCS Administrative Contact Name: Marnie Wilson	9. Recipient Program Contact Name: Lorna Carter	10. Recipient Administrative Contact Name: Lorna Carter
(b)(6)			
11. CFDA 10.937	12. Authority 15 USC 714 et seq	13. Type of Action New Agreement	14. Program Director Name: Lorna Carter (b)(6)
15. Project Title/ Description: Expands markets for climate-smart Bison in Oklahoma and Tribal areas and supports farmer and rancher implementation and monitoring of climate-smart practices.			
16. Entity Type: I = Indian/Native American Tribal Government (Federally Recognized)			
17. Select Funding Type			
Select funding type:	☒ Federal	☐ Non-Federal	
Original funds total	\$6,999,356.00	\$0.00	
Additional funds total	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Grand total	\$6,999,356.00	\$0.00	
18. Approved Budget			

Personnel	\$1,267,999.00	Fringe Benefits	\$342,359.00
Travel	\$25,025.00	Equipment	\$0.00
Supplies	\$1,750,329.00	Contractual	\$17,443.00
Construction	\$0.00	Other	\$3,596,201.00
Total Direct Cost	\$6,405,707.00	Total Indirect Cost	\$593,649.00
		Total Non-Federal Funds	\$0.00
		Total Federal Funds Awarded	\$6,999,356.00
		Total Approved Budget	\$6,999,356.00

This agreement is subject to applicable USDA NRCS statutory provisions and Financial Assistance Regulations. In accepting this award or amendment and any payments made pursuant thereto, the undersigned represents that he or she is duly authorized to act on behalf of the awardee organization, agrees that the award is subject to the applicable provisions of this agreement (and all attachments), and agrees that acceptance of any payments constitutes an agreement by the payee that the amounts, if any, found by NRCS to have been overpaid, will be refunded or credited in full to NRCS.

Name and Title of Authorized Government Representative KATINA HANSON Acting Senior Advisor for Climate-Smart Commodities	Signature KATINA HANSON  Digitally signed by KATINA HANSON Date: 2023.08.23 13:20:46 -05'00'	Date 08/23/2023
Name and Title of Authorized Recipient Representative REGGIE WASSANA Governor	Signature 	Date 8-11-2023

NONDISCRIMINATION STATEMENT

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT

The above statements are made in accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. Section 522a).

Statement of Work

Purpose

The purpose of this agreement, between the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) and Cheyenne and Arapaho Tribes (Recipient), is to build markets for climate-smart commodities and invest in America's climate-smart producers to strengthen U.S. rural and agricultural communities.

Objectives

The objectives of this project are to support the production and marketing of climate-smart commodities by providing voluntary incentives to producers and landowners, including early adopters, to implement climate-smart agricultural production practices, activities, and systems on working lands; measure/quantify, monitor and verify the carbon and greenhouse gas (GHG) benefits associated with those practices; and develop markets and promote the resulting climate-smart commodities.

Budget Narrative

The official budget summarized below and described in the attached Budget Narrative will be considered the total budget as last approved by the Federal awarding agency for this award.

Amounts included in this budget narrative are estimates. Reimbursement or advance liquidations will be based on actual expenditures, not to exceed the amount obligated.

TOTAL BUDGET \$6,999,356

TOTAL FEDERAL FUNDS \$6,999,356

PERSONNEL \$1,046,808

FRINGE BENEFITS \$282,638

TRAVEL \$20,660

EQUIPMENT \$0

SUPPLIES \$1,445,000

CONTRACTUAL \$14,400

CONSTRUCTION \$0

OTHER \$3,596,201 (includes PRODUCER INCENTIVES \$0)

TOTAL DIRECT COSTS \$6,405,707

INDIRECT COSTS \$593,649

TOTAL NON-FEDERAL FUNDS \$0

PERSONNEL \$0

FRINGE BENEFITS \$0

TRAVEL \$0

EQUIPMENT \$0

SUPPLIES \$0

CONTRACTUAL \$0

CONSTRUCTION \$0

OTHER \$0 (includes PRODUCER INCENTIVES \$0)

TOTAL DIRECT COSTS \$0

INDIRECT COSTS \$0

Recipient has an approved Negotiated Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (NICRA) with a rate of 21.13% and a base of Total direct costs, less capital expenditures and passthrough funds. Passthrough funds are normally defined as payments to participants, stipends to eligible recipients, or subawards, all of which normally require minimal administrative effort.

Ensure that equipment purchased with Federal funds is used until no longer needed as described in the General Terms and Conditions and 2 CFR 200. If the residual value of the equipment is \$5,000 or more at the time it is no longer needed, the recipient must request disposition instructions. The disposition instructions may direct the recipient to: 1) sell the equipment and return a proportionate share of the proceeds to the Federal agency; 2) transfer title to another eligible entity identified by the Federal agency; or 3) keep the equipment if desired and compensate the Federal agency for its proportionate share of the value.

Responsibilities of the Parties:

If inconsistencies arise between the language in this Statement of Work (SOW) and the General Terms and Conditions attached to the agreement, the language in this SOW takes precedence.

RECIPIENT RESPONSIBILITIES

Perform the work and produce the deliverables as outlined in this Statement of Work and attachments.

Ensure Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) clearance is obtained prior to conducting data collection from producers or other project participants, including data collection performed by subrecipients.

Comply with the applicable version of the General Terms and Conditions.

Submit reports and payment requests to the ezFedGrants system as outlined in the applicable version of the General Terms and Conditions. Reporting frequency is as follows:

Performance Reports: Quarterly

SF425 Financial Reports: Quarterly

Detailed Progress Report: Quarterly

(The detailed progress report is in addition to the performance and financial reports referenced above and described in the general terms and conditions)

Expected Accomplishments and Deliverables

See attached Benchmarks Table and associated Project Narrative.

Resources Required

See the Responsibilities of the Parties section for required resources, if applicable.

Milestones

See attached Benchmarks Table and associated Project Narrative.

GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS

Please reference the below link(s) for the General Terms and Conditions pertaining to this award:

<https://www.fpacbc.usda.gov/about/grants-and-agreements/award-terms-and-conditions/index.html>

Attachments:

Budget Narrative

Project Narrative

Benchmarks Table

Climate-Smart Practices List and Limitations

Data Dictionary

Climate-Smart Specific Terms and Conditions

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Demonstration, Expansion, and Quantification of the Benefits of a Climate Smart Commodity:

Verified Regenerative Bison Products

I. Executive Summary

In this project, we will:

1. Develop a demonstration program on tribal lands, implementing and expanding multiple climate-smart practices in the production of American bison. This program will showcase the benefits of regenerative bison production to rangeland, ranchers, and climate. It will increase climate resilience of ranching operations, reduce net greenhouse gas (ghg) emissions, and direct the financial benefits of climate-smart commodity production to ranchers, including tribal groups and early adopters.
2. Leverage this demonstration program, as well as the recent history of tribal bison reintroduction programs around the country, to rigorously quantify the climate impacts of regenerative bison production in comparison with the baseline of conventional beef production. In this quantification, we will also trial streamlined processes—based on remote sensing and automation of soil-carbon measurement—to reduce monitoring costs for future adopters.
3. Create a new entity to maintain standards of regenerative bison production, track climate benefits and payment for those benefits through the supply chain, establish consumer trust in and appreciation for the multiple benefits of Verified Regenerative Bison Products, and thus foster a market-driven expansion of the practices demonstrated and studied in this program.

The lead on this proposal is the Cheyenne and Arapaho Tribes Agricultural Program, represented by Lorna Carter (100 Red Moon Circle, Concho, Oklahoma 73022, 405-422-7711, lcarter@cheyenneandarapaho-nsn.gov). Project partners include Frasier Bison LLC (<https://frasierbison.com/>), a consulting bison specialist; Collaborative Earth (formerly known as Earthshot Institute) (<https://www.collaborative.earth/>), a non-profit scientific research organization specializing in the use of advanced technologies to measure and model environmental impacts; Mad Agriculture (<https://madagriculture.org/>), a non-profit organization specializing in outreach to producers and development of markets to support transitions to sustainable practices; and several Tribal Buffalo programs around the country, with whom we interface through the Eastern Shoshone Tribal Buffalo Program (<https://easternshoshone.org/>) and the Intertribal Buffalo Council (ITBC) (<https://itbcbuffalonation.org/>).

Project management will be divided among partners according to their areas of focus and expertise. For design and implementation of the demonstration program, Frasier Bison and the Cheyenne and Arapaho Agricultural Program possess the requisite expertise. For MMRV, Collaborative Earth will coordinate research overseen by our contracted partners Dr. Stephen Porder (Brown University) and Dr. Paul Stoy (University of Wisconsin) and executed by Collaborative Earth's Postdoctoral Fellows and Bison Lab. For outreach to ranchers, the Cheyenne and Arapaho Agricultural Program and Mad Ag will work through their networks of hundreds of cattle and bison ranchers. The regenerative bison verification program will be developed through a collaboration between the partners in this proposal and the Savory Institute's Land to Market Program, allowing us to build out a new set of verification processes and criteria within the Savory Institute's established program. For access to markets offering a premium for climate-smart commodities, we will access Mad Ag's extensive network of distributors and retailers emphasizing sustainability-oriented products.

While there is evidence in the scientific literature for the climate-related benefits of regenerative bison production, the industry is nascent. Consequently, better data informing USDA Comet for grazing practices with American bison are needed; ranchers cannot yet benefit from a significant price premium tied to the carbon-sequestration potential of regenerative grazing with bison; and ranchers do not yet have the practical guidance or financial incentives needed to transition to this culturally and ecologically important species. This project will address all three of these important unmet needs.

This project minimizes costs associated with activities in several ways. First, rather than building whole new systems for tracing the benefits of our climate-smart commodity, we will build on well-established practices for verification and tracking chain of custody, expanding and adapting them to apply to regenerative bison and to incorporate data on ghg emissions and other ecosystem services. Second, in the course of this project, we will move from the most thorough 'gold-standard' of measuring ecological impacts, including ghg emissions, to more efficient and scalable methods based on remote sensing, machine learning, and automation. Third, we will leverage the open-science ecosystem supported by Collaborative Earth, greatly reducing overhead and expense associated with research in traditional University contexts.

Fittingly, our demonstration program will be located on the site of three schools that were established in the 19th century to teach Cheyenne and Arapaho children. In the project described here, the Cheyenne and Arapaho, along with the Eastern Shoshone and other tribal members of the ITBC, will furnish an educational and compelling case of tribal development of ranching practices to restore thriving grassland ecosystems, enhance their resilience, and mitigate climate change. These practices are centered around American bison, a species of ancient spiritual importance to the plains tribes of North America. This project thus represents a new kind of school, offering a synthesis of deep traditions with advanced technologies to

develop and demonstrate the benefits of a climate-smart commodity, Verified Regenerative Bison Products.

II. Development of a demonstration program on tribal lands, implementing and expanding multiple climate-smart practices in the production of American bison

i. Context and Outreach

The Cheyenne and Arapaho Reservation, established in 1869, covered 4,300,000 acres in what is now western Oklahoma. These lands are in the transition zones ranging from tallgrass prairie to shortgrass high-plains prairie. Rolling hills and broad plains are dissected by river valleys, where moist riparian ecosystems harbor cottonwoods. In small pockets where hardwood stands have not been removed, post oak-blackjack and shinnery oak forests thrive. These prairie ecosystems represent one of the planet's most stable available repositories of carbon (1); they are capable of sequestering between 3 and 9 megagrams of carbon per hectare per year (2-4). Tragically, tilling and overgrazing have squandered much of this carbon-storage capacity (3). In the project proposed here, we will demonstrate how this vital ecosystem service can be recovered through active restoration efforts and regenerative grazing with the dominant native herbivore, American bison.

During the Reservation Era, farming and industrial skills were taught to Cheyenne and Arapaho children at four different schools established on the reservation. In this Partnership for Climate Smart Commodities (PCSC) project, an educational and compelling demonstration of holistic regenerative rangeland management, centered on Verified Regenerative Bison, will be implemented at the location of three of these former schools. We are writing a new chapter in the history of these schools, making their former grounds a place where the Cheyenne and Arapaho Agricultural Program will document and showcase climate-smart practices that regenerate the prairie through management of our ecologically and culturally vital native grazer.

Today, the Cheyenne and Arapaho Agriculture Program manages approximately 8,400 acres utilizing regenerative and conservation agriculture practices. The Tribes began their climate-smart planning activities in 2015, when they launched their grassland restoration project. Rangeland Health Assessments were conducted in 2015 on 2,084 acres to establish baseline data from which improvement efforts can be measured. These rangeland acres were inventoried to determine plant composition and estimated forage productivity. The data revealed that some areas of Tribal rangeland contains 97% of the plant species from original prairies, while in other areas, biodiversity had been reduced to as little as 10% of the original species present. This assessment provided the baseline data from which restoration plans were developed.

The Cheyenne and Arapaho tribes began to implement climate-smart production practices in 2016 and have since purchased over \$220,000 in low-till and no-till equipment. As an advocate for soil health principles, the Tribes entered into a landmark soil health agreement in January 2017 with the United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resource Conservation Service to establish a demonstration farm showcasing advanced soil-health techniques. The agreement was the first of its kind between the NRCS and an Indian tribe. Conservation technologies used to improve lands managed by the Cheyenne and Arapaho were demonstrated on a 70-acre area in partnership with the USDA NRCS. The Tribes were cohosts of four soil health workshops throughout western Oklahoma in 2017.

Grassland restoration on Cheyenne and Arapaho Tribal lands began in 2018. Smaller isolated fields previously farmed for row crops were converted to native grasslands, utilizing both native grass seed mixtures and monoculture plantings. The restoration efforts also started over-seeding existing pastures with native grasses and legume inter-seeding. Mechanical treatments were started for the removal of invasive tree species in the livestock pastures. A prescribed burn program was established as a means to reduce hazardous fuel accumulations, to aid in restoring the prairie ecosystems and landscapes, and to assist in controlling woody invasive species in the grasslands. Over 3,000 acres have been placed in the prescribed burn rotation.

Expanding on the success of facilitating soil health workshops, the agriculture program began participating in additional educational events. These include co-hosting bison management workshops with the USDA NRCS and other private ranches. The largest event co-hosted by the Cheyenne and Arapaho Agriculture Program has been the National Land and Range Judging Contest. Nearly 1,000 4-H and FFA students from over 30 states have gathered on the Tribal lands for the event. The Tribes have co-hosted three of these national events in the past seven years.

Thus, prior to the pandemic shutdown of in-person gatherings, the Cheyenne and Arapaho agriculture program was active with outreach efforts to share their experiences of grasslands restoration and regenerative bison management. In the PCSC project proposed here, the agriculture program will build on its successful history of education and outreach efforts to introduce many more producers to the environmental and financial benefits of regenerative grasslands management centered on Verified Regenerative Bison Products. Working in concert with the regenerative, scientific, and market-development activities described below, one full-time outreach professional will offer ranch visits, short courses for practitioners, and speaking engagements at conferences and events. We project that we can introduce and teach these practices to at least 100 producers during years three and four of this project, resulting in implementation in at least 25 operations comprising at least 125,000 acres.

Anticipating producer interest in the methods showcased in our demonstration project, as well as in the opportunities for premium prices commanded by Verified Regenerative Bison Products, Mad Ag will explore a new application of its financing mechanism that has already demonstrated success in transitioning conventional broad acre farmers into organic and regenerative cultivation methods. In essence, financing is provided by impact capital funds offering reasonably priced loans that enable a producer to cross a valley of cost in order to achieve higher returns from certified production techniques. In the present case, the transition will be from conventional cattle production to verified regenerative bison production.

ii. The New Regenerative Demonstration Program.

The headquarters for the Cheyenne and Arapaho Tribal government is at the Concho Reserve, which is also where the first school was established. The Darlington School, Indian Territory, opened in 1871. Passing through the Concho Reserve was the Chisholm Trail, a famed cattle route, drawn to the area by an abundant supply of water from Caddo Springs. These springs are still flowing today. Of the 3,500 acres of Concho Reserve that will be included in this PCSC demonstration project, 1,100 acres, centered around the Caddo Springs, will be managed as a riparian area. Net carbon sequestered annually as a result of regeneration of the riparian zone will be measured as part of our MMRV program, described below, and the ghg benefits will be linked explicitly to the Verified Regenerative Bison Products, as part of the whole-operation ghg accounting. Thus, this area of the larger demonstration project will be used to document and measure the considerable benefits in ghg sequestration that can be achieved through effective riparian regeneration of a form compatible with raising Verified Regenerative Bison. In general, riparian zone restoration can be an important component of the overall ghg reduction program implemented in holistically managed ranches. This component of our demonstration program therefore has scalable impact for adoption by other producers throughout U.S. grasslands.

Riparian Restoration efforts will focus on a total of 1,680 acres of Cheyenne and Arapaho lands. The removal of invasive trees and shrubs from the bison pastures will be rebalanced with the planting of native trees in the moist riparian areas. Simple interventions, including installation of beaver dam analogs and riverbank plantings, can have significant effects on riparian productivity and drought resilience, as has been well-documented in the literature (5-7).

In connection with our riparian restoration efforts, we note that climate-smart agriculture requires not only sequestering more carbon, but also building greater climate-change resilience into agricultural systems. Riparian environments exhibit significant microclimatic differences from open grasslands; they can be critical refuges in periods of extreme heat. In addition, their water-storing capacities increase drought resilience. Our native grazer, bison, also appear to be better at managing climatic extremities than cattle, which are a northern

European species. In this regard, bison appear to be a pre-adapted 'climate-smart' grazing species (8).

The Canton Reserve of the Cheyenne and Arapaho Reservation is where the Cantonment military post was established. When the military post was abandoned, in 1882, the Cantonment school began operating and taught farming and ranching to the students. The U.S. Army Corps of engineers completed the Canton dam in 1948 and Tribal lands were lost to the Corp of Engineers. The Canton Tribal lands were reduced to 2,235 acres. 1,600 acres in the Canton Reserve will be included in this PCSC project. Perennialization of former crop land to native grass will take place in Canton on 650 acres. This will become pasture for regenerative bison grazing. As described below, in relation to our MMRV activities, the carbon content of this field will be measured before transition to pasture, and again three years later. 270 acres in the Canton Reserve are currently used as grass pasture for cattle. These lands will be transitioned from cattle to bison. 180 acres are considered the riparian area to Canton Lake. 500 acres of existing cropland will stay in crop rotations for supplemental bison feed. No-till and cover crop techniques will be used on this land. If water sources are added to these acres, they can be used for grazing paddocks with rotational grazing management.

One of the oldest towns in Western Oklahoma, Colony was founded in 1886 by John H. Seger. Seger started his work at the Darlington school and was later asked to establish a colony that would concentrate Indian families in agriculture communities. The Seger Colony was established in the South-central location of the Cheyenne and Arapaho Reservation along the fertile lands of Cobb Creek. John Seger built the federally funded Seger Industrial Training School in 1893. The school taught farming and industrial skills to Native Americans. The Seger Indian School became known as the model Industrial Indian School in the United States. 2,300 acres at the Colony Reserve will be included in the PCSC project.

Perennialization of former crop land to native grass will take place in Colony on 680 acres. This will become bison pasture. 1080 acres have most recently been grazed by cattle. These lands will be transitioned to bison pastures. Again, as described below, these fields will be subject to soil analyses prior to transition, and again at least three years later. While we do not expect the full carbon-sequestration gains to be evident in just three years, we do expect to see a signal in certain variables. In addition, adopting a chronosequence approach, we will also be sampling fields that have been under bison for at least five years, and comparing them with fields that have been under cattle for decades. In Colony, 320 acres are considered the riparian area to Cobb Creek and a pond. 100 acres are existing crop land will stay in crop rotations for supplemental bison feed. No-till and cover crop techniques will be used on this land. 120 acres are used for native grass hay fields.

In sum, the project will execute a range of changes in land use and agricultural practices in order to develop a demonstration of holistic climate-smart ranch management, centered

around regenerative grazing by bison. These changes include conversion of cropland to grassland replacement of cattle with bison, which will be managed following regenerative practices; reseeding with grassland species; removal of invasive trees and shrubs from grasslands, counterbalanced by the reforestation of riparian zones with native species; crop rotations and tillage transition to no till. Across three sites, our conversion of cropland will perennialize 2,300 acres. The management practices of the agriculture program are focused on regenerating Tribal lands back to productive native and locally adapted grasslands, characterized by a blend of native grasses, including big bluestem, little bluestem, indiangrass, switchgrass and eastern gamagrass. Native mixed grass stands will be the main forage resource for the Tribes' bison. These grasslands provide numerous ecosystem services beyond animal agriculture, including wildlife habitat, water storage resulting in greater climate resilience, and carbon sequestration.

When planning the implementation of practices (riparian restoration, native grass planting, animal integration, etc) the project team will consult the Oklahoma e-FOTG website for practice implementation guidance and standards. The implementation team will make adjustments during actual practice implementation according to field conditions, but will stay within the boundaries of practice guidance to the highest degree possible in a given circumstance. When needed, we will consult with local field office representatives on practice guidance and local/regional best practices. Consultation with contracted regional experts will also provide practice implementation guidance. In the course of this project, we will be guided by a panel of experts on regenerative grazing and bison management. One member of this panel will be drawn from Mad Agriculture, one from a regional soil-health organization, and one will be Tim Frasier, of Frasier Bison, a subawardee on this proposal.

In part III of this proposal, we will describe how sampling and analyses of soil cores, as well as flux-tower measurements, from fields subject to different management practices will support the development of a whole-operation ghg accounting of our demonstration project. These data will be vital to our outreach and communications efforts, described above, as well as to the verification program and justification of premium pricing for Verified Regenerative Bison Products, described below. Furthermore, by taking these measurements in three different prairie ecosystems under tribal management, we will further support the improvement of USDA Comet for American bison, as well as the scaling of the new Verified Regenerative Bison production to more ranches across the central plains.

iii. Parasitology and Bison Sequestration Systems

As we emphasized above, to be truly climate-smart means not only to reduce net ghg emissions but also to improve climate resilience and the capacity to adapt to changing conditions. One area of climate adaptation that may be dangerously under-appreciated at present concerns the effects of changing climate on parasite risks (9-11).

Regenerative grazing practices of bison to increase climate resilience will prove successful in its goals only if the bison are healthy. Keeping bison well-nourished and disease-free requires a parasitology program that is responsive to the new conditions introduced not only by climate change, but also by the new grazing practices we adopt to improve soil health and thus mitigate climate change. Healthy soils increase the density of grass stands, increasing retained moisture, and they may therefore enhance the development and transmission for Gastrointestinal Nematodes (GIN). Important parasites that pose a threat that may be enhanced by climate change are *Ostertagia*, *Haemonchus*, and *Dictyocaulus* species. The Southern Plains are a window into the future for the Northern Great Plains, where climate models predict change to warmer, wetter conditions more conducive for proliferation of certain parasites within 15 years' time. Fortunately, an adaptive parasitology program can track parasite loads, identify species present, and responsively formulate treatment and prevention options to address these parasitic diseases.

As an important component of our demonstration program, we will develop a climate-adaptive parasitology program that combines citizen-science and observation with scientific analysis to provide management tools for bison producers. Accredited laboratory work and publication will be provided by Texas A&M University Parasitology Diagnostic Laboratory. The Texas Bison Parasitology Stakeholder Citizen Science & Observation Initiative (TXBPSCOI) will develop a handbook of technical procedures. TXBPSCOI and Mag Ag will be providing technical assistance for the grant recipient. The adaptive parasitology demonstration program will also encompass sequestration systems, which are a key strategy for preventing the spread of GIN and other parasites. Collaborators on this project will include Texas A&M University Parasitology Diagnostic Laboratory, Frasier Bison, Southwest Bison, and Wheeler Feeders FCCAI. The research from this collaborative program will provide management strategies and technical tools to equip future adopters of regenerative bison grazing practices to implement the parasitology programs needed as we adapt to climate change.

III. Measurement/quantification, monitoring, reporting, and verification plan (MMRV)

The MMRV component of this project will have four different but interrelated parts.

i. Quantification of the ghg emission reductions associated with conversion from conventional cattle grazing to regenerative bison grazing.

Recent research combining remote sensing with field observations has shown that bison can "engineer the green wave" (12). That is, their grazing alters the timing and speed of the spring green-up across prairies. Bison grazing causes grasslands to green faster, more intensely, and for longer duration. Cattle graze differently from bison (13), and therefore they are likely to have different effects on the dynamics of the green wave, and consequently on soil attributes

and other ecological variables. On evolutionary grounds, it is reasonable to hypothesize that native plant communities will thrive, and consequently sequester more carbon, under grazing that resembles the conditions under which they evolved, and North American grassland species evolved with American bison, not with cattle. Interestingly, the regenerative cattle ranching practice known as 'adaptive multi-paddock' (amp) grazing compels cattle to exhibit behaviors that closely resemble what bison instinctively do, namely localized grassland balding followed by tight herd movement. While poor grazing practices with bison, such as persistent overstocking, can certainly degrade grassland, the practice studied here—regenerative bison grazing—requires avoiding such mismanagement. In the sole available comparative study, regeneratively managed bison and amp-managed cattle resulted in higher soil carbon levels than conventionally managed cattle (14). While this study is intriguing, it represents a single geographic site and a limited sampling period, and therefore is not sufficient for quantification of the difference in grassland carbon sequestration that can result from regenerative bison grazing.

There is also evidence that bison emit less ghg's than cattle(15), as well as a mechanistic rationale to explain why this could be the case: In their diet mixing, bison are concentrate grazers; that is, they more actively select forbs, which are higher in secondary nutrients, including tannins, which may reduce methane as well as nitrous oxide emissions. However, as with soil-carbon sequestration, the data are not yet sufficient to make reliable general predictions about the overall ghg effects of bison versus cattle. The timeframe of the only study on this topic was relatively brief. In addition, novel methods for combining tracking of animals by camera with flux-tower detection of emissions should now facilitate more accurate emissions measurement (15-17).

In the research proposed here, we will measure the difference between bison and cattle in two important factors contributing to their total ghg emissions: sequestration of carbon by soils and direct animal emissions of methane. Our results will contribute directly to improving the forecasts offered by USDA Comet for the production of bison.

Over the past ten years, a number of tribal programs around the country have reintroduced bison to their former range. Most of these programs are members of the Intertribal Buffalo Council. Collectively, the ITBC programs present a unique opportunity to study the effects of bison grazing on carbon sequestration as well as ecological co-benefits, including insect and bird biodiversity, soil water storage, and grassland drought resilience. In work external to this proposal, Collaborative Earth is coordinating with ITBC to sample soils in grasslands that were converted from cattle to bison at known points in the past, ranging from over 10 years to just a few years, at sites of more recent introduction. Data from that work will be combined with the data generated in this project, as well as with data available in the literature, to create

a larger aggregated dataset for estimating the longer term effects of regenerative grazing by bison.

In this project, to contribute to our understanding of the effects of bison grazing on carbon sequestration, we will analyze soils in treatment and control fields at the start of the research project and then once again at least three years later. To be clear, we expect the signal of change in total organic carbon to be revealed primarily by comparison across fields with differing histories, rather than comparison across time points during our study. However, sampling twice will contribute to the total size of the dataset, potentially add information about changes on shorter time scales in certain soil variables, such as mineral-associated organic carbon, and inform the construction and parameterization of models of soils (18, 19).

Informed by remote analysis of DEMs, historical NDVI, other ecological variables, and soil maps, as well as on-the-ground soil surveys where needed, our team will make decisions about specific sampling sites and core depths, ranging from 30 cm to 2 m, depending on soil types and horizonization. Our team for this work will be led by Dr. Stephen Porder, who will be supported through consultation with Dr. Gisel Booman (leader of Collaborative Earth's Bison Lab), who is an expert in remote sensing of grasslands, and with Dr. Francesca Cotrufo (CSU and Cquester Labs), who is an expert on carbon and nitrogen cycling in the particular grasslands we are investigating.

We will calculate carbon stocks as a function of cumulative core mass, in addition to fixed depth (20). On average, across our 7 fields, we anticipate 100 cores per field, 4 depths sampled per core, for a total of 2,800 samples early in the grant period, and another 2,800 samples late in the grant period, over three years after the first sampling round. Soil analyses for all samples will be performed by Cquester Labs, Fort Collins, CO. Quantities to be measured in each sample include the following: bulk density, total percent carbon, total percent nitrogen, pH, texture with hydrometer, inorganic carbon (in samples exhibiting a positive fizz test), mid-infrared spectroscopic profile, particulate organic matter and mineral-associated organic matter fractions. Methods that will be used are described in the following references: (18, 21-24). The ultimate goal of our soil sampling analyses is to quantify how bison affect the total carbon sequestered in soil over time; to do so rigorously will require us to measure a number of variables beyond total carbon stocks (18, 19), so that we can understand soil evolution and how it varies with depth (20). In addition, as we describe below, we will also be designing more efficient methods for monitoring soil carbon at scale, so that the extensive analysis described here will not be needed in future projects monitoring the effects of grazing methods in order to meet the requirements of the verification process we propose below.

To measure the methane emitted by bison under regenerative grazing management relative to cattle, Dr. Paul Stoy will lead a team to deploy two flux towers. These flux towers will be equipped to measure both CO₂ and CH₄ emissions. Towers will track animal locations and

movements, which can be integrated with micrometeorological observations to infer total CH₄ emissions from the animals (15-17). (Combining AI with 3D sensing by stereo cameras, the zed open-source software community has recently made significant progress in tracking animal movements in space.) The CO₂ measurements will provide valuable cross-reference data for the soil studies. The CH₄ measurements will enable us to estimate the total methane emitted by each herd. We will estimate the size of each animal in each herd at the start and finish of flux tower observation, providing more meaningful metrics, such as methane emitted per kg of total weight or protein accumulation.

In sum, the study proposed here will yield a quantitative estimate of ghg emissions by bison versus cattle under similar conditions and using the same measurement techniques. This data will inform USDA Comet and provide a baseline estimate of ghg reductions achieved by adopters of regenerative bison practices.

ii. Development of economical and scalable methods for assessing GHG impacts of grazing species and practices.

The analyses proposed in MMRV part i are too costly to form the basis of common practice for monitoring the benefits of regenerative bison grazing practices at scale. Therefore, we will design a sampling protocol that will leverage the analyses from this project and other research to reduce the measurements demanded from future adopters. We will reduce future measurement needs in three ways. First, to reduce the number of soil samples needed, the Bison Lab at Collaborative Earth, led by Dr. Gisel Booman, will develop machine-learning algorithms for interpolating soil carbon levels between sparse sampling points. Such reduction in sampling density will inevitably reduce accuracy, however the added variance can be quantified and kept within tolerance levels stipulated by international carbon registries (25). Second, our soil samples will be analyzed by MIR. MIR can substitute for full laboratory analysis, yet its accuracy varies with place and land use (26). We will contribute to the understanding of when and where MIR can be used without dramatic loss of accuracy. Third, we will trial Haystack Ag's cost-effective automated system for measuring soil organic carbon. To test measurements compared with the traditional analyses from Cquester, we will run one 400 of our 2,800 samples collected in our first collecting campaign through Haystack's automated system. Contingent upon Haystack achieving its goals of cost reduction through automation in the next three years, we will run half of our samples from our second collecting campaign through Haystack's automated system. In all cases, results from Haystack's analysis will be compared with the thorough "gold standard" of results from Cquester, to detect and quantify deviation between the methods. We view this duplication of analyses as a worthwhile investment, because Haystack's automation technology has the potential to reduce the total cost of future soil carbon analyses by an order of magnitude. Such a reduction would greatly facilitate our effort to establish an outcome-confirmed sustainable grazing verification program, as described below.

iii. GHG measurement and accounting in the expansion of the Cheyenne and Arapaho regenerative bison production program.

As described in part I of this proposal, the development of the Cheyenne and Arapaho demonstration program will involve four types of land-use conversion and regeneration: from cattle production to bison production using regenerative grazing practices; from dry-land cropping to bison production using regenerative grazing practices; from dry-land cropping to hay production with native perennial grass mixes; from cattle-degraded river bank to restored riparian habitat. The reductions in ghg emissions associated with all of these land-use conversions will be estimated through the collaborative work of our research team.

At the start of the project period, we will initiate our sampling protocol to measure the carbon content of soils prior to land use conversions. Land use changes will occur over the following two years. In year four of the project, we will repeat our sampling process in each site. Aggregating data from this project and other bison/cattle comparison studies, as described above, we will estimate the operation's overall ghg budget and forecast its changes over the decade to come. This information will flow into the enhanced value of products specifically linked to environmental improvements through the verified products described below.

We will also measure changes in climate resilience that result from the interventions. We expect such changes in several areas: microclimatic differences achieved through riparian restoration around Caddo Springs, as well as replanting of native trees in other locations; water storage and drought resilience resulting from riparian regeneration, as well as from reduction in soil compaction and increases in soil water-holding capacity; increases in grassland biodiversity, which improve ecological resilience in the face of climate change. Though these changes are often referred to as co-benefits, we view them as central to climate-smart improvements to rangeland management

iv. Creation of the world's first regenerative bison verification program.

Verification and certification programs can be a powerful way to reintegrate regional food systems and reconnect consumers with the people, places, and ecosystems that provide food. Successful programs of this kind have created trust between consumers and growers that share the same values. Such programs ensure that sustainability standards are being met through inspection, record keeping, and third-party verification. For example, the USDA Organic Program has enabled farmers to connect with consumers that will pay more for the "integration of cultural, biological, and mechanical practices that foster cycling of resources, promote ecological balance, and conserve biodiversity", with the USDA Organic or Verified Organic seal. The Global Animal Partnership (GAP) is another successful certification, this one focused on animal welfare. We propose that a program of this nature can be used to

connect procedures and consumers in ways that financially reward the ecological benefits of regenerative and climate-smart agriculture, and effectively create climate-smart commodities.

We will work with the Savory Institute and their new and exciting verification program. The Land to Market program is the first outcomes-based verified regenerative sourcing solution for both producers and buyers. The program primarily relies on the measurement and reporting of outcomes using Ecological Outcomes Verification (EOV), which allows producers that properly manage livestock to monitor the improvement of soil fertility, carbon sequestration, hydrologic function, and biodiversity. With Land to Market verification, producers can then access buyers, brands, retailers, and consumers.

Through our collaboration with the Savory Institute, we will create a *bison-specific* and *rigorously climate-beneficial* program leading to the first verified and certified regenerative bison products. The land-conversion and grazing practices implemented in our demonstration program will constitute a trial of practices that will enable ranchers to meet the outcome-based criteria for verified regenerative bison products. Meanwhile soil carbon and methane measurements conducted in this project, through a collaboration among the project partners, will support the development of more cost-effective methodologies for monitoring ghg outcomes resulting from grazing management. These methodologies will, in turn, integrate with and build on the EOV approach, creating greater rigor in measurement of outcomes and consequentially more reliable veracity of claims.

To clearly define the roles in our collaboration with Savory's Land to Market program: The partners of this project, including the tribal bison programs, Collaborative Earth, Frasier Bison, and Mad Agriculture will develop and ultimately define the practices and criteria for certification. These practices and criteria will be provided to Savory's Land to Market master verifies for their implementation in an already well-developed certification operation. We will work with Savory to ensure that added value will travel with the commodity through traditional, affidavit-based chain of custody and traceability mechanisms. We believe widespread adoption of our new bison-specific and climate-smart verification and certification will require that we begin with the simplest possible enhancement of existing mechanisms for tracing meat from producer to distributor to whole-seller to retailer. Carbon credits will not be created or sold in this process, however the value of verified carbon sequestration to conscientious consumers will be passed back to ranchers through a higher price commanded by verified products.

The demonstration project described in part I of this proposal will provide a powerful mechanism for outreach to ranchers, illustrating for them the financial as well as ecological benefits associated with obtaining the verification we will design in collaboration with the Savory Institute.

IV. Plan to develop and expand markets for climate-smart commodities

More and more consumers want to know where their food comes from, and what impact food has on the landscapes and communities where it is produced. With the rise of regenerative agriculture and the growing urgency to solve climate change, more and more buyers are committing to climate-smart agriculture and to sourcing from regenerative farms as a way of fulfilling that commitment. A wide variety of consumer packaged goods companies are leading the way, from small (e.g., Patagonia Provisions) to large (e.g., Applegate), from international (e.g. Unilever) to domestic (i.e. General Mills). Consumers are ultimately driving this trend along with corporate shareholders in whose companies that desire and mandate are driving adoption of climate-oriented goals. Major brands are not only faced with the challenge of dealing with emissions that occur outside of their operations but within their supply chain (Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions).

Mad Agriculture's Markets Program helps farmers connect with value-aligned buyers, and vice versa. Mad Ag primarily works with consumer packaged goods (CPGs) brands who have made commitments to regenerative sourcing and climate action goals. We help facilitate and build long-term relationships between producers and buyers based on a shared vision and commitment. Mad Ag works on behalf of producers to broker deals with buyers, and works on behalf of CPGs to originate new supply for their products. Mad Ag currently works with over 90 producers in 16 states who collectively manage over 75,000 acres of land. For this project, Mad Agriculture will hire a bison markets specialist to focus on full 'hoof to horn' utilization to sell multiple products, including specialty cuts, ground bison, jerky cuts, hides, and bone meal.

The bison-specific verification program created within Savory Institute's Land to Market program will provide the basis for market differentiation and added value to both producer and CPG partners. In reality, the market will determine the actual value, but we will target a 20% premium for certified bison over non-certified bison; these prices will not be directly tied to cattle prices. We are in active conversation with a variety of buyers, including: Nose to Tail, Moink, Whole Foods, Epic Provisions (General Mills), Rep Provisions, Patagonia Provisions, Figure 8 Foods and Applegate. The bison-specific verification developed in this project is the first for bison and will become available to producers not directly involved in this grant, and will remain as a market differentiator beyond the duration of this grant period. The scientific protocols and methodologies for the bison certification may become useful for the development of cattle, sheep, or other livestock certifications.

The processors of the product will follow Land to Market protocols and Ecological Outcome Verification. Common chain of custody protocols will be followed to track certified products through the supply chain. Carbon and climate beneficial metrics will be taken during the

certification process but will not be fractionalized to be sold with the products into the marketplace. To avoid double counting, producers will agree to not sell the carbon beneficial metrics as credits or offsets, but use the data only for certification and management purposes.

Bibliography

1. P. Dass, B. Z. Houlton, Y. P. Wang, D. Warlind, Grasslands may be more reliable carbon sinks than forests in California. *Environmental Research Letters* **13**, (2018).
2. P. L. Stanley, J. E. Rowntree, D. K. Beede, M. S. DeLonge, M. W. Hamm, Impacts of soil carbon sequestration on life cycle greenhouse gas emissions in Midwestern USA beef finishing systems. *Agricultural Systems* **162**, 249-258 (2018).
3. K. Paustian, E. Larson, J. Kent, E. Marx, A. Swan, Soil C Sequestration as a Biological Negative Emission Strategy. *Frontiers in Climate* **1**, (2019).
4. M. B. Machmuller *et al.*, Emerging land use practices rapidly increase soil organic matter. *Nature Communications* **6**, (2015).
5. E. Fairfax, A. Whittle, Smokey the Beaver: beaver-dammed riparian corridors stay green during wildfire throughout the western United States. *Ecological Applications* **30**, (2020).
6. C. Pearce, P. Vidon, L. Lautz, C. Kelleher, J. Davis, Impact of beaver dam analogues on hydrology in a semi-arid floodplain. *Hydrological Processes* **35**, (2021).
7. A. L. Ronnquist, C. J. Westbrook, Beaver dams: How structure, flow state, and landscape setting regulate water storage and release. *Science of the Total Environment* **785**, (2021).
8. J. Martin, C. Brooke, Getting started with bison ranching, a South Dakota State University Extension Publication., <https://extension.sdstate.edu/getting-started-bison-ranching> (2020).
9. N. J. Fox, G. Marion, R. S. Davidson, P. C. L. White, M. R. Hutchings, Climate-driven tipping-points could lead to sudden, high-intensity parasite outbreaks. *Royal Society Open Science* **2**, (2015).
10. I. Morales-Castilla *et al.*, Forecasting parasite sharing under climate change. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences* **376**, (2021).
11. E. R. Morgan, Detail and the devil of on-farm parasite control under climate change. *Animal Health Research Reviews* **14**, 138-142 (2013).
12. C. Geremia *et al.*, Migrating bison engineer the green wave. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **116**, 25707-25713 (2019).
13. M. T. Kohl, P. R. Krausman, K. Kunkel, D. M. Williams, Bison Versus Cattle: Are They Ecologically Synonymous? *Rangeland Ecology & Management* **66**, 721-731 (2013).
14. M. Hillenbrand, R. Thompson, F. Wang, S. Apfelbaum, R. Teague, Impacts of holistic planned grazing with bison compared to continuous grazing with cattle in South Dakota shortgrass prairie. *Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment* **279**, 156-168 (2019).

15. P. C. Stoy *et al.*, Methane efflux from an American bison herd. *Biogeosciences* **18**, 961-975 (2021).
16. T. W. Coates *et al.*, Applicability of Eddy Covariance to Estimate Methane Emissions from Grazing Cattle. *Journal of Environmental Quality* **47**, 54-61 (2018).
17. T. W. Coates, T. K. Flesch, S. M. McGinn, E. Charmley, D. L. Chen, Evaluating an eddy covariance technique to estimate point-source emissions and its potential application to grazing cattle. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* **234**, 164-171 (2017).
18. S. Mosier *et al.*, Adaptive multi-paddock grazing enhances soil carbon and nitrogen stocks and stabilization through mineral association in southeastern US grazing lands. *Journal of Environmental Management* **288**, (2021).
19. Y. Zhang *et al.*, Simulating measurable ecosystem carbon and nitrogen dynamics with the mechanistically defined MEMS 2.0 model. *Biogeosciences* **18**, 3147-3171 (2021).
20. E. Slessarev, J. Zelikova, J. Hamman, D. Cullenward, J. Freeman. (2021).
21. L. A. Sherrod, G. Dunn, G. A. Peterson, R. L. Kolberg, Inorganic carbon analysis by modified pressure-calculator method. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* **66**, 299-305 (2002).
22. C. A. Seybold *et al.*, Application of Mid-Infrared Spectroscopy in Soil Survey. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* **83**, 1746-1759 (2019).
23. G. W. Gee, J. W. Bauder, in *Methods of Soil Analysis, Part A*, A. Klute, Ed. (Am. Soc. Agron., Madison, WI, 1986), vol. 9.
24. M. F. Cotrufo, M. G. Ranalli, M. L. Haddix, J. Six, E. Lugato, Soil carbon storage informed by particulate and mineral-associated organic matter. *Nature Geoscience* **12**, 989-+ (2019).
25. G. C. Booman, R. Steinherz, S. Bennetts, S. Leiker, Methodology for greenhouse gas and co-benefits in grazing systems. *Regen Network Development Inc.*, <https://library.regen.network/v/methodology-library/methodology-for-ghg-and-co-benefits-in-grazing-systems> (2020).
26. P. B. Ramirez, F. J. Calderon, M. Haddix, E. Lugato, M. F. Cotrufo, Using Diffuse Reflectance Spectroscopy as a High Throughput Method for Quantifying Soil C and N and Their Distribution in Particulate and Mineral-Associated Organic Matter Fractions. *Frontiers in Environmental Science* **9**, (2021).

Milestones/benchmarks:**Required Quantitative Targets by Quarter (Cumulative) – some initial quarters may be zero:**

- Number of producers involved:

Number of Producers Involved (through outreach)				
	1 st Quarter	2 nd Quarter	3 rd Quarter	4 th Quarter
Year 1	1	1	1	1
Year 2	1	1	1	1
Year 3	1	5	15	30
Year 4	50	75	100	100

- Number of underserved producers involved:

Number of Underserved Producers Involved (through outreach)				
	1 st Quarter	2 nd Quarter	3 rd Quarter	4 th Quarter
Year 1	1	1	1	1
Year 2	1	1	1	1
Year 3	1	4	11	23
Year 4	38	56	75	75

- Number of acres involved in demonstration program:

Number of Acres Involved in Demonstration Program				
	1 st Quarter	2 nd Quarter	3 rd Quarter	4 th Quarter
Year 1	1850	1850	1850	1850
Year 2	1850	1850	1850	1850
Year 3	3700	3700	3700	3700
Year 4	3700	3700	3700	3700

- Number of acres involved in demonstration program:

Number of Acres Involved in Demonstration Program				
	1 st Quarter	2 nd Quarter	3 rd Quarter	4 th Quarter
Year 1	185	370	555	740
Year 2	1480	2220	2960	3700
Year 3	4440	5180	5920	6660
Year 4	6845	7030	7215	7400

- Number of acres involved through outreach:

Number of Acres Involved through Outreach				
	1 st Quarter	2 nd Quarter	3 rd Quarter	4 th Quarter
Year 1	5000	5000	5000	5000
Year 2	5000	5000	5000	5000
Year 3	5000	25000	25000	50000
Year 4	75000	100000	100000	125000

- Number of head involved (if applicable):

Number of Head Involved in Demonstration Program				
	1 st Quarter	2 nd Quarter	3 rd Quarter	4 th Quarter
Year 1	25	25	25	25
Year 2	50	50	50	50
Year 3	75	75	75	75
Year 4	100	100	100	100

Number of Head Involved through outreach				
	1 st Quarter	2 nd Quarter	3 rd Quarter	4 th Quarter
Year 1	0	0	0	0
Year 2	0	0	0	0
Year 3	0	100	350	725
Year 4	1225	1850	2475	2475

- GHG Benefits (Metric Tons of CO₂e Reduced or Sequestered):

GHG Benefits (Metric Tons of CO₂ Reduced or Sequestered)				
	1 st Quarter	2 nd Quarter	3 rd Quarter	4 th Quarter
Year 1	0	0	0	0
Year 2	170	330	500	660
Year 3	990	1980	2970	3950
Year 4	2640	5270	7900	10550

The acreage involved in the demonstration program, which is summarized in the table above, is in a wide range of different conditions, and committed to a variety of different land uses. To model each soil condition x land-use-conversion combinations individually would at this stage involve microgeographic assessment of current carbon levels. However, we can make reasonable assumptions based on average sequestration rates due to prairie restoration of multiple current land-uses, including annual crops and hayed grassland, as summarized in Paustian et al (2019) (see project narrative reference 3). Assuming an average rate of carbon sequestration due to prairie restoration of 0.9 t CO₂/acre/year, we extrapolate from the acreage table above to the ghg sequestration forecasts shown here. Please note that quarterly benchmarks are linearly interpolated from annual benchmarks. Because of the seasonality of carbon sequestration, we do not actually expect carbon sequestration to follow this precise path, but annual estimates should be reasonable.

We will also be including CH₄ emissions in our assessments of total ghg benefits of this project. However, the relative methane emissions of cattle versus bison is one key unknown, making quantitative forecasting at this stage impossible. We anticipate gaining important information on this variable from the flux tower measurements taken as part of this project.

- Number of new marketing channels* established:

Number of New Marketing Channels Established				
	1 st Quarter	2 nd Quarter	3 rd Quarter	4 th Quarter
Year 1	0	0	0	0
Year 2	0	0	0	0
Year 3	5	10	15	20
Year 4	20	20	20	20

Explain

- Number of measurement tools utilized:

Number of Measurement Tools Utilized				
	1 st Quarter	2 nd Quarter	3 rd Quarter	4 th Quarter
Year 1	0	12	12	2
Year 2	2	2	2	2
Year 3	2	2	2	2
Year 4	2	2	12	12

Explain

In Q1, the CE research fellows will visit the project site to plan flux tower installation and soil core sampling. In Q2, camera-equipped flux tower installation and the first round of soil core sampling will take place. Eddy-covariance sensors will measure CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes, and cameras will enable

more accurate attribution of fluxes to local livestock. The soil cores drawn in Q2 will be subject to laboratory analyses in Q2 and Q3. Soil analyses will include bulk density, percent C and percent N, fizz test to determine presence of inorganic carbon, inorganic carbon levels when necessary, total organic carbon, including fractionation of soil organic carbon into particulate organic matter and mineral-associated organic matter, and pH (a total of 8 soil measurements). The reason for measuring all of these variables is that they will enable us to understand changes in total soil carbon and ultimately to predict how soils will respond over time to shifts to regenerative practices by future adopters. The ultimate goal is to design lower-cost methods for mmrv by future adopters of verified regenerative bison production. Importantly, we will also perform Mid-IR spectroscopic analysis of soil cores (a 9th measurement), determining whether MidIR will be a viable, lower cost tool for future adopters to measure soil carbon levels. Finally, we are counting analysis by Haystack Ag as a 10th measurement, although the variables measured are overlapping with those measured by more conventional methods. The reason for subjecting 100 out of 700 cores to redundant measurement at this stage is to trial the automated methods of Haystack Ag for accuracy, speed, and cost.

Q2 and Q3 soil core results will provide vital baseline measurements for the effects of the demonstration project on ghg sequestration. They will also contribute to a large database facilitating comparison of the effects of bison versus cattle effects on soils. We are not anticipating a second round of soil core sampling until Q3 and Q4 of year 4; our intention is to wait as long as possible between core sampling rounds, as the accumulation of organic matter in soils is a relatively slow process. During all intervening quarters, the flux tower measurements of CO₂ and CH₄ will be ongoing.

Other Required Benchmarks that may be quantitative or qualitative:

- Outreach, training and other technical assistance:

Outreach, Training, and Other Technical Assistance				
	1 st Quarter	2 nd Quarter	3 rd Quarter	4 th Quarter
Year 1	0	1	0	1
Year 2	1	2	1	1
Year 3	1	2	2	1
Year 4	1	2	2	1

Our outreach and training strategy will focus on presenting at conferences and hosting on-site workshops/field days at the demonstration project. We will present at conferences or host online webinars during Q1 and Q4 of each year, and host on-site field days in Q3 and Q4. Possible conferences, which we already actively attend, may include Marbelseed, EcoFarm, Grassfed Exchange, Regenerate, Holistic Management International, Regenerative Agriculture and Foods Systems Summit, and others. For field-days, in Years 2, 3 and 4, we will host a field day in the spring and summer focused on sharing regenerative systems design, practices, progress and economics aimed at educating other producers. In Year 4, we will host a field specifically for brands and buyers that are interested in sourcing certified regenerative raised bison. Every year in Q2, we will also host a field day for the tribal community.

Explain

- Other MMRV and supply chain traceability attributes:

Other MMRV and Supply Chain Traceability Attributes				
	1 st Quarter	2 nd Quarter	3 rd Quarter	4 th Quarter
Year 1	0	0	0	0
Year 2				
Year 3				
Year 4				

MMRV -

In Year 2, we will begin to develop the Regenerative Bison certification in partnership with the Savory Institute, and we will likely envelope the standard within their Ecological Outcome Verification (EOV) program, which in turn, enables us to enter their Land to Market sales program. In Year 3, we will begin to cultivate relationships with prospective buyers and begin to develop supply chains from field to process and distribution to sales. Traceability is critical for the success of this project and for the certification. Traceability is a system of identification that allows tracking of location and movement of animal products. We will follow industry standards to design, develop and implement regenerative supply systems.

- Other measurements of work related to marketing of commodities:

Other Measurements of Work Related to Marketing of Commodities				
	1 st Quarter	2 nd Quarter	3 rd Quarter	4 th Quarter
Year 1	0	0	0	0
Year 2	0	0	0	0
Year 3	0	1	2	3
Year 4	3	3	6	6

In Year 3 we will begin aligning buyers and establishing purchasing contracts for the regenerative raised bison. We will originate 3 buyers in Year 3 and 3 more buyers in Year 4, for a total of at least 6 buyers of regenerative certified bison. Most of our marketing will be direct outreach to buyers that we already have established relationships with.

Climate-Smart Practices and Limitations

Climate-Smart practices under this grant shall be limited to the following practices:

NRCS Practice Code	Practice Name
380	Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation
382	Fence
390	Riparian Herbaceous Cover
391	Riparian Forest Buffer
393	Filter Strip
512	Pasture and Hay Planting
528	Prescribed Grazing
550	Range Planting
590	Nutrient Management
612	Tree/Shrub Establishment

All practices applied under this grant will follow NRCS practice standards unless noted below:

In occasional circumstances, field conditions or available seed varieties may require that practice implementation varies slightly from the NRCS Conservation Practice Standard. These situations will be avoided wherever possible. If deviations are needed, the application will adhere to the principles of the practice standard as closely as possible and will avoid activities such as planting invasive weed seeds or over-application of nutrients that may cause damage to the land base or its resources. Prior to any deviations from the NRCS Conservation Practice Standard, notification of different practices planned will be provided to USDA and approval from USDA will be obtained for the deviations to the NRCS practices prior to project implementation.



Partnerships for
Climate-Smart
Commodities
Data Dictionary
for Recipients
February 2023
Version 1.0



Table of Contents

Overview of Reporting Requirements.....	2
Project Summary.....	3
Partner Activities.....	4
Marketing Activities	5
Producer Enrollment	6
Field Enrollment.....	7
Farm Summary.....	8
Field Summary	9
GHG Benefits - Alternate Modeled.....	10
GHG Benefits - Measured.....	11
Additional Environmental Benefits	12
Supplemental Data Submission.....	13
Data Descriptions.....	14
Unique IDs.....	14
Project Summary.....	15
Partner Activities.....	20
Marketing Activities	25
Producer Enrollment	30
Field Enrollment.....	38
CSAF Practice Sub-questions	44
Farm Summary.....	45
Field Summary	49
GHG Benefits - Alternate Modeled.....	57
GHG Benefits - Measured.....	61
Additional Environmental Benefits	65
CSAF Practice Sub-questions	75
Appendix A: Climate-smart Agriculture and Forestry Practices.....	83
All NRCS Practice Standards (not limited to climate-smart practices).....	83
Other CSAF Practices.....	85
Appendix B: Commodity List	86



Overview of Reporting Requirements

Grant recipients are required to submit reports to document their performance under the *Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodity* funding opportunity. These submissions will be required to use the Microsoft Excel workbook templates provided by USDA. The workbooks contain a series of worksheets that collect data in a standardized format to ensure data quality and allow for aggregation and summary of this information. The entire workbook must be submitted quarterly, with updates to all applicable worksheets. This guide is divided into three sections. The *Overview of Reporting Requirements* section summarizes the layout of the reporting workbook and presents the data elements included in each worksheet. It also describes additional documents that must be submitted to supplement the performance reports. The *Data Definitions* section provides descriptions and allowable response options for each data element. The guide also indicates whether each data element is required, applicable at times, or optional; as well as how frequently each data element must be updated. Finally, the *Appendices* contain practice and commodity lists that will be used for these reports. Reporting is necessary for USDA oversight of this effort. The data elements required for inclusion in the quarterly performance reports allow USDA to conduct selected audits to review whether producers are receiving federal funds from multiple sources for the same purpose; to determine whether GHG benefits from implementation of climate-smart agriculture and forestry (CSAF) practices are being estimated accurately; and for other purposes deemed appropriate by USDA.

The reporting worksheets collect information at four levels: project, partner, producer, and field.

Descriptions of each level:

Project level: Information about activities and impacts at a whole project/aggregate level (i.e., reflecting all activities under the grant agreement). Some project-level reporting is further subdivided by commodity type or a combination of commodity and CSAF practice(s) (commodity x practice).

Partner level: Information about activities related to a single organization (recipient, subrecipient, contractor, or other partner) within a project.

Producer level: Information about individual producers who have one or more farms enrolled in a project.

Field level: Information about individual fields enrolled in a project.

Certain data elements are required to be reported for each producer and field enrolled in a project. In order to minimize the burden associated with data collection and to enable USDA to match data to existing records, these producer- and field-specific records must use the producer's established FSA Farm, Tract and Field IDs, and report the State and County associated with the Farm ID. Associated data entered in conjunction with these data elements, such as Producer Name, must match the data contained in the customer's Business Partner record, and the Farm Operating Plan in Business File for that Farm ID. Disclosure of this information is protected under Section 1619 of the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008 (PL 110- 246), 7 U.S.C. 8791. Additionally, Departmental Regulation 4370-001 provides USDA's policies for collecting demographic data, including race, ethnicity and gender. Providing demographic information is voluntary and at the discretion of the customer. Demographic information is used by USDA for statistical purposes only and will not be used to determine an applicant's eligibility for programs or services for which they apply.

Note: For purposes of this guide, "farm" refers to the operation from which climate-smart commodities are produced and may represent farms, ranches, forests or other operations. Similarly, "field" refers to the individual land units at which climate-smart practices are being implemented to produce climate-smart commodities and may represent lots, farmsteads or other units, depending on the type of operation and commodity. The use of "Farm", "Tract" and "Field" align with the FSA definitions; for example, "A field is a part of a farm that is separated from the balance of the farm by a permanent boundary, such as; fences, permanent waterways, woodlands, croplines in cases where farming practices make it probable that this cropline is not subject to change, and other similar features."



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

The following tables list the data elements included in each reporting worksheet, along with a brief description of each item.

Project Summary

These data will be collected about each project. Cumulative results are reported each quarter. Report last quarter's entry if there has been no change in this quarter.

Table 1. Project Summary elements

Data element name	Description	Frequency
Commodity type	Type of commodity(ies) incentivized by the project	Quarterly
Commodity sales	Indicates sales of the commodity(ies) related to the project occurred this quarter	Quarterly
Farms enrolled	Indicates enrollment activities occurred this quarter	Quarterly
GHG calculation methods	Methods used to calculate greenhouse gas (GHG) benefits	Quarterly
GHG cumulative calculation	Method used to calculate cumulative GHG benefits	Quarterly
Cumulative GHG benefits	Whole project estimate of total GHG (CO ₂ e) emission reductions	Quarterly
Cumulative carbon stock	Whole project estimate of total carbon sequestration	Quarterly
Cumulative CO ₂ benefit	Whole project estimate of total CO ₂ emission reductions	Quarterly
Cumulative CH ₄ benefit	Whole project estimate of total CH ₄ emission reductions	Quarterly
Cumulative N ₂ O benefit	Whole project estimate of total N ₂ O emission reductions	Quarterly
Offsets produced	Amount of carbon offsets produced by project	Quarterly
Offsets sale	Name of marketplace where carbon offsets were sold	Quarterly
Offsets price	Price of carbon in offset sales	Quarterly
Insets produced	Amount of carbon insets produced by project	Quarterly
Cost of on-farm TA	Cost of on-farm technical assistance (TA) provided to producers	Quarterly
MMRV cost	Cost of measurement, monitoring, reporting, and verification (MMRV) activities	Quarterly
GHG monitoring method	Methods used by project to monitor GHG benefits (up to 5)	Quarterly
GHG reporting method	Methods used by project to report on GHG benefits (up to 5)	Quarterly
GHG verification method	Methods used to verify GHG benefits (up to 5)	Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Partner Activities

These data will be collected at the project level. Each row in this worksheet will represent one organization involved in the project, including the recipient and all contributing partners. A partner is any organization that is receiving project funds or providing matching contributions (funds or in-kind contributions) to the project. While the recipient must complete one row for their own organization, not all data elements apply to the recipient. These exceptions are noted in the detailed descriptions of the specific elements in the *Data Definitions* section of this guide. Data are reported cumulatively each quarter. Report last quarter's entry if there has been no change in this quarter.

Table 2. Partner Activities elements

Data element name	Description	Frequency
Partner ID	Unique ID for each partner	One-time
Partner name	Name of partner organization	One-time
Partner type	Type of organization	One-time
Partner POC	Partner point of contact name	As applicable
Partner POC email	Partner point of contact email	As applicable
Partnership start date	Start of partnership on project	One-time
Partnership end date	End of partnership on project	As applicable
New partnership	Indicator for partner organizations that have no prior work with the recipient	As applicable
Partner total requested	Total amount requested to date by partner from recipient	Quarterly
Total match contribution	Total amount of match contribution by partner to date	Quarterly
Total match incentives	Total amount of match contribution by partner for incentives	Quarterly
Match type	Top 3 types of match contribution by partner, other than incentives	Quarterly
Match amount	Value of match contributions by type	Quarterly
Training provided	Top 3 types of training provided to the partner through project	Quarterly
Activity by partner	Top 3 types of activities provided by this partner to producers or other partners	Quarterly
Activity cost	Approximate cost per activity type provided by partner to producers or other partners	Quarterly
Products supplied	Names of products supplied to producers as part of project activities or incentives	Quarterly
Product source	Supplier or source of products supplied to producers as part of project activities or incentives	Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Marketing Activities

These data will be collected at the project level. Each row in this worksheet will correspond to one commodity for which the project enrolls fields and one marketing channel used to sell that commodity by the project or producers enrolled in the project. Data are reported for the current quarter and are not cumulative. If no sales of the commodity were reported during a quarter, do not complete this worksheet for that quarter.

Table 3. Marketing Activities elements

Data element name	Description	Frequency
Commodity type	Type of commodity incentivized by the project	Quarterly
Marketing channel type	Type of marketing channels used	Quarterly
Number of buyers	Number of buyers per marketing channel	Quarterly
Names of buyers	Names of buyers in the marketing channel	Quarterly
Marketing channel geography	Geography of marketing channel	Quarterly
Value sold	Value of commodity sold by marketing channel	Quarterly
Volume sold	Volume of commodity sold by marketing channel	Quarterly
Price premium	Price premium of commodity by marketing channel	Quarterly
Price premium to producer	Percent of price premium that goes to the producer	Quarterly
Product differentiation method	Top 3 types of product differentiation methods used	Quarterly
Marketing method	Top 3 types of marketing methods used	Quarterly
Marketing channel identification method	Top 3 ways marketing channel was identified	Quarterly
Traceability method	Top 3 types of supply chain traceability methods used	Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Producer Enrollment

These data will be collected at the producer level about each farm enrolled in the project. In this worksheet, each row will correspond to one farm that has at least one field enrolled in the project. Data are reported when a producer first enrolls one or more fields in the project. If a producer is enrolled in the project for multiple years, review the farm characteristics each time a new contract is signed and provide any necessary updates. The quarterly submission should contain information about each farm initially enrolled in the project during that quarter and for updates to farms that have re-enrolled during that quarter, as applicable. If no farms are enrolled during that quarter, do not complete this worksheet for that quarter.

Table 4. Producer Enrollment elements

Data element name	Description	Frequency
Farm ID	Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA	
State or territory	State name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)	
County of residence	County name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)	
Producer data change	Indicator that producer data was updated at re-enrollment	As applicable
Producer start date	Contract start date	Enrollment
Producer name	Name of primary operator	Enrollment
Underserved status	Indicator the primary operator is considered underserved and/or a small producer	Enrollment
Total area	Total area of enrolled operation	Annual
Total crop area	Total crop area in enrolled operation enrolled	Annual
Total livestock area	Total livestock confinement, pasture and rangeland in enrolled operation	Annual
Total forest area	Total forest area in enrolled operation	Annual
Livestock type	Top 3 types of livestock on enrolled operation	Annual
Livestock head	Total livestock currently managed (by type)	Annual
Organic farm	Indicator that part of the farm is certified or transitioning organic	Annual
Organic fields	Indicator that any of the enrolled fields are certified or transitioning organic	Annual
Producer motivation	Motivation for participation	Annual
Producer outreach	Top 3 types of outreach provided to producer	Annual
CSAF experience	Indicator of prior implementation of CSAF practices at this farm	Annual
CSAF federal funds	Indicator of prior receipt of federal funds for CSAF practices	Annual
CSAF state or local funds	Indicator of prior receipt of state funds for CSAF practices	Annual
CSAF nonprofit funds	Indicator of prior receipt of nonprofit funds for CSAF practices	Annual
CSAF market incentives	Indicator of prior receipt of market incentives for CSAF practices	Annual



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Field Enrollment

These data will be collected about each field enrolled in the project. In this worksheet, each row corresponds to one field x commodity combination enrolled in the project. Generally, data are reported once for each field, at its initial enrollment. The quarterly submission should contain information about each field initially enrolled in the project during that quarter. If no fields are enrolled during that quarter, do not complete this worksheet for that quarter. If a field is enrolled for multiple years, any relevant changes, such as a new ID number or changes to the commodity or practice combinations should be entered in this worksheet during the quarter it is re-enrolled, or as applicable.

Table 5. Field Enrollment elements

Data element name	Description
Farm ID	Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA
Tract ID	Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA
Field ID	Unique Field ID assigned by FSA
State or territory of field	State name
Physical County of field	Physical county name must match FSA farm records
Prior Field ID	Previous Field ID when reconstitution of farm results in new Field IDs
Field data change	Indicator that field data has changed from initial enrollment
Contract start date	Start date of contract
Total field area	Size of enrolled field
Commodity category	Category of commodity(ies) produced
Commodity type	Type of commodity(ies) produced
Baseline yield	Average yield of commodity in 3 years prior to enrollment
Baseline yield location	Location for which baseline yield is provided
Field land use	Most common land use in field in past 3 years
Field irrigated	Most common irrigation type in field in past 3 years
Field tillage	Most common tillage in field in past 3 years
Practice past extent - farm	Extent of operation that implemented this practice prior to project enrollment
Field any CSAF practice	Indicator for prior CSAF practices in this field in past 3 years
Practice past use - this field	Indicator of prior use of this practice in this field in the past 3 years
Practice type	CSAF practice(s) that will be implemented in enrolled field (up to 7)
Practice standard	Organization that developed CSAF practice standard implemented in field
Planned practice implementation year	Year that practice is planned to be implemented
Practice extent	Area or number of animals for which practice is implemented
Follow-on questions	Follow-on questions by practice type (see Table 11)



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Farm Summary

These data will be collected about each farm enrolled in the project. In this worksheet, each row will correspond to one farm that has at least one field enrolled in the project. The quarterly submission should contain updates to any data elements that have changed for each farm enrolled in the project during that quarter. If there are no changes from the previous quarter, do not complete this worksheet for that quarter. Data are not cumulative.

Table 6. Farm Summary elements

Data element name	Description	Frequency
Farm ID	Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA	
State or territory	State name	
County of residence	County name	
Producer TA received	Type of technical assistance provided to producer	Quarterly
Producer incentive amount	Total financial incentive provided to the producer	Quarterly
Incentive reason	Top 4 reason(s) for financial incentives provided to producer	Quarterly
Incentive structure	Top 4 units on which financial incentives are structured	Quarterly
Incentive type	Top 4 type(s) of financial incentives provided to producer	Quarterly
Payment on enrollment	Extent of payment provided to producer upon enrollment	Quarterly
Payment on implementation	Extent of payment provided to producer upon implementation of CSAF practices	Quarterly
Payment on harvest	Extent of payment provided to producer upon harvest or slaughter	Quarterly
Payment on MMRV	Extent of payment provided to producer upon reporting or verification	Quarterly
Payment on sale	Extent of payment provided to producer upon sale of commodity	Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Field Summary

These data will be collected about each field enrolled in the project for a commodity x practice(s) combination. In this worksheet, each row will correspond to one field x commodity x practice(s) combination enrolled in the project. Data for each field will be reported quarterly and are not cumulative. Report data for any elements that have an update in that quarter. Greenhouse gas benefit estimates must be entered upon practice completion or annually, as appropriate. If there are no changes from the previous quarter, do not complete this worksheet for that quarter. This worksheet includes a section to report the “official” estimate of GHG benefits – amounts of greenhouse gas emissions reduced and carbon sequestered – for the field. These quantities refer to the estimates that are used to calculate the project’s aggregate impact (reported in Table 1). Tables 8 and 9 are used to report alternate estimates of the field-level GHG benefits when additional methods are used to model (Table 8) or measure (Table 9) these impacts. Any field that can use COMET-Planner must submit those results, either as the official or alternate model.

Table 7. Field Summary elements

Data element name	Description	Frequency
Farm ID	Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA	
Tract ID	Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA	
Field ID	Unique Field ID assigned by FSA	
State or territory of field	State name	
County of field	County name	
Commodity type	Type of commodity produced from field	Quarterly
Practice type	Type of practice(s) incentivized in field (up to seven)	Quarterly
Date practice complete	Date that practice implementation is certified complete	Quarterly
Contract end date	End date of contract	Quarterly
MMRV assistance provided	Indicator that MMRV assistance is provided to field	Quarterly
Marketing assistance provided	Indicator that marketing assistance provided for commodity from field	Quarterly
Incentive per acre or head	Indicator that a per acre/head incentives is provided for the CSAF practice(s) on this field	Quarterly
Field commodity value	Value of commodity produced from field	Quarterly
Field commodity volume	Volume of commodity produced from field	Quarterly
Cost of implementation	Total cost of practice implementation in field	Quarterly
Cost coverage	Percent of total cost of implementation of practice covered by project incentives	Quarterly
Field GHG monitoring	Methods used to monitor GHG benefits in field (up to 3)	Quarterly
Field GHG reporting	Methods used to report on GHG benefits for field (up to 3)	Quarterly
Field GHG verification	Methods used to verify GHG benefits for field (up to 3)	Quarterly
Field GHG calculations	Methods used to calculate GHG benefits for field	Quarterly
Field official GHG calculation	Method used to calculate official GHG benefits for field	Quarterly
Field official GHG ER	Official estimate of total GHG emission reductions for field	Quarterly
Field official carbon stock	Official estimate of total carbon sequestration for field	Quarterly
Field official CO ₂ ER	Official estimate of total CO ₂ emission reductions for field	Quarterly
Field official CH ₄ ER	Official estimate of total CH ₄ emission reductions for field	Quarterly
Field official N ₂ O ER	Official estimate of total N ₂ O emission reductions for field	Quarterly
Field offsets produced	Amount of carbon offsets produced in field	Quarterly
Field insets produced	Amount of carbon insets produced in field	Quarterly
Other field measurements	Indicator that field data was collected for reasons other than GHG benefit estimation	Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

GHG Benefits - Alternate Modeled

If greenhouse gas benefits are modeled for the same field using multiple methods, the results for the alternate models are reported in this worksheet. The “alternate” models refer to those model results that were not used in the calculation of the project’s aggregate impact (as reported in Table 1). Any field that can use COMET-Planner must submit those results, either as the official or alternate model. These data will be collected about the modeled GHG benefits for each field x commodity x practice(s) combination. In this worksheet, each row will correspond to one field enrolled in the project. Data are not cumulative. Each quarterly submission should include information for all fields that have new modeled data. Greenhouse gas benefit estimates must be entered upon practice completion or annually, as appropriate.

Table 8. GHG Benefits – Alternate Modeled elements

Data element name	Description	Frequency
Farm ID	Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA	
Tract ID	Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA	
Field ID	Unique Field ID assigned by FSA	
State or territory of field	State name	
County of field	County name	
Commodity type	Type of commodity(ies) produced from the field (up to 6)	Annual
Practice type	Type of practice(s) incentivized in field (up to 7)	Annual
GHG model	Model used to calculate GHG benefits	Annual
Model start date	Start date of model run	Annual
Model end date	End date of model run	Annual
Total GHG benefits estimated	Estimate of total GHG benefits for field	Annual
Total carbon stock estimated	Estimate of total change in carbon stock for field	Annual
Total CO2 estimated	Estimate of total CO2 emission reductions for field	Annual
Total CH4 estimated	Estimate of total CH4 emission reductions for field	Annual
Total N2O estimated	Estimate of total N2O emission reductions for field	Annual



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

GHG Benefits - Measured

Projects must report the results of any carbon stock or greenhouse gas emission measurements in this worksheet. These data will be collected at the field level. Each row will represent a separate measurement method used to calculate GHG benefits for a given field. Data are reported once per year of measurement and are not cumulative. Each quarterly submission should include information for any field for which there are new soil samples or new calculations of annual GHG benefits based on actual measurements.

Table 9. GHG Benefits - Measured data elements

Data element name	Description	Frequency
Farm ID	Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA	
Tract ID	Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA	
Field ID	Unique Field ID assigned by FSA	
State	State name	
County	County name	
GHG measurement method	Method of measurement	Annual
Lab name	Entity that conducted analysis	Annual
Measurement start date	Start date of measurements	Annual
Measurement end date	End date of measurements	Annual
Total CO ₂ reduction calculated	Calculation of total CO ₂ reduction	Annual
Total carbon stock change calculated	Calculation of change in carbon stock	Annual
Total CH ₄ reduction calculated	Calculation of total CH ₄ reduction	Annual
Total N ₂ O reduction calculated	Calculation of total N ₂ O reduction	Annual
Soil sample result	Numeric result from soil sample	Annual
Measurement type	Type of analysis conducted	Annual



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Additional Environmental Benefits

Projects that track additional environmental benefits (e.g., water quality improvements) from enrolled fields report results in this worksheet. These data will be collected about each field. Each row in this worksheet will correspond to an enrolled field. Data are not cumulative. Estimates of environmental benefits must be entered upon practice completion or annually, as appropriate.

Table 10. Additional Environmental Benefits elements

Data element name	Description	Frequency
Farm ID	Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA	
Tract ID	Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA	
Field ID	Unique Field ID assigned by FSA	
State	State name	
County	County name	
Environmental benefits	Indicator that project tracks other environmental benefits	Annual
Reduction in nitrogen loss	Indicator that project tracks reductions in nitrogen loss	Annual
Amount	Amount	Annual
Purpose	Purpose of tracking those co-benefits	Annual
Reduction in phosphorus loss	Indicator that project tracks reductions in phosphorus loss	Annual
Amount	Amount	Annual
Purpose	Purpose of tracking those co-benefits	Annual
Other water quality	Indicator that project tracks other water quality improvements	Annual
Type	Type of water quality metric being tracked	Annual
Amount	Amount	Annual
Purpose	Purpose of tracking those co-benefits	Annual
Water quantity	Indicator that project tracks reduced water use	Annual
Amount	Amount	Annual
Purpose	Purpose of tracking those co-benefits	Annual
Reduced erosion	Indicator that project tracks reductions in soil erosion	Annual
Amount	Amount	Annual
Purpose	Purpose of tracking those co-benefits	Annual
Reduced energy use	Indicator that project tracks reductions in energy use	Annual
Amount	Amount	Annual
Purpose	Purpose of tracking those co-benefits	Annual
Avoided land conversion	Indicator that project tracks reductions in land conversion	Annual
Amount	Amount	Annual
Purpose	Purpose of tracking those co-benefits	Annual
Improved wildlife habitat	Indicator that project tracks improvements in wildlife habitat	Annual
Amount	Amount	Annual
Purpose	Purpose of tracking those co-benefits	Annual



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Supplemental Data Submission

Project MMRV Plan

Definition of MMRV elements:

Measurement: Quantification of the greenhouse gas benefits (reduction or capture) using mathematical models and/or direct physical measurements in the field

Monitoring: Ongoing review and confirmation that the climate-smart practice has been implemented according to the agreed upon standard and documentation of any changes in the site, implementation, or GHG emissions impacts over time

Reporting: Documenting and sharing monitoring and measurement results with project partners, the recipient, and any third-party verification organization

Verification: Independent confirmation that measurement, monitoring and reporting information are complete, accurate and reliable.

Projects must submit an MMRV plan that includes details about how each of the following are addressed:

- Quantification approach, including:
 - GHG models used
 - GHG measurement plan (if applicable)
 - Approach to quantifying additional environmental benefits, if applicable (e.g., water quality, habitat)
- Verification approach:
 - Compliance criteria
 - Verification plan/methodology
- Approach to ensuring:
 - Additionality
 - Permanence
 - Leakage
 - Impacts of weather
- Plan for non-compliance

If the project is using a specific MMRV methodology or approach developed by the recipient, a project partner, or an outside organization, the project can submit documentation associated with the methodology as long as the documentation addresses each of the above categories.

If the project is tracking other environmental benefits (as reported in the *Additional Environmental Benefits* worksheet), include a description of the methodology and tools used to track and report on these benefits.

Field modeled GHG benefit reports

Results from any models besides COMET-Planner used to estimate GHG benefits must also be submitted as a separate report. This includes projects running COMET-Farm. The full results of any model can be submitted in the native/standard format generated by the modeling tool and must include the following Unique IDs in the report or in the file name: State, County, Farm ID, Tract ID, Field ID.

Field direct measurement results

For any direct physical measurements in the field, measurement results must be submitted as a separate report and must include the following Unique IDs in the report or in the file name: State, County, Farm ID, Tract ID, Field ID. Measurement results reports must include the name of the equipment used for sampling or data collection, the name of the lab that analyzed the data, and the analytical method used.

Sample report types include soil analysis reports, summarized results of portable emissions analyzers or flux towers, water quality analyses, and plant species counts. These could be collected for the purposes of determining GHG emission reductions or carbon sequestration amounts, for calibration of tools or models, for tracking other environmental benefits, or for other reasons.



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Data Descriptions

This section provides descriptions and allowable response options for each data element. The guide also indicates whether each data element is required, applicable at times, or optional; as well as how frequently each data element must be updated.

Unique IDs

Project ID: Unique ID at the project level – “Award Identifying Number” shown on award documentation

Partner ID: Unique ID at the partner level – use EIN; if no EIN, a unique ID will be assigned for use in these reports

State or territory of operation: State or territory name

County of operation: Physical county name

Farm ID: Unique ID at the operation level assigned by Farm Service Agency (FSA)

Tract ID: Unique ID at the tract level assigned by FSA

Field ID: Unique ID at the field level assigned by FSA



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Project Summary**Commodity type****Data element name:** Commodity type**Reporting question:** What climate-smart commodity types are produced by this project?**Description:** Type of commodity incentivized by the project. These commodities include those for whom farmers are directly receiving incentives or other types of marketing support. See full list of commodity options in Appendix B. List one commodity per row.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:** FSA commodity list**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Commodity sales****Data element name:** Commodity sales**Reporting question:** Did project activities result in sales this quarter of the commodity(ies) produced by this project?**Description:** Indicator of sales of commodity(ies) related to project activities. If sales are reported, complete the *Marketing Activities* worksheet (Table 3) as part of the quarterly performance report.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Farms enrolled****Data element name:** Farms enrolled**Reporting question:** Did the project enroll any producers or fields this quarter?**Description:** Indicator that the project enrolled producers or fields. If enrollment activities occurred this quarter, complete the *Producer Enrollment* and *Field Enrollment* worksheets (Tables 4 and 5) as part of the quarterly performance report.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**GHG calculation methods****Data element name:** GHG calculation methods**Reporting question:** What methods is the project using to calculate GHG benefits?**Description:** List the way(s) that GHG benefits are being measured and calculated by the project this quarter.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Models
- Direct field measurements
- Both

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

GHG cumulative calculation**Data element name:** GHG cumulative calculation**Description:** List the method(s) that was used to calculate the total cumulative GHG benefits reported by the project this quarter.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Logic:** None – all respond**Data collection level:** Project**Reporting question:** What method(s) was used to calculate the total cumulative GHG benefits reported here?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Models
- Direct field measurements
- Both

Required: Yes**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Cumulative GHG benefits****Data element name:** Cumulative GHG benefits**Description:** Total cumulative estimated greenhouse gas emission reductions from practice implementation. This is updated quarterly. If there are no changes, enter the same number as the previous quarter.**Data type:** Decimal**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CO₂eq**Logic:** None – all respond**Data collection level:** Project**Reporting question:** What are the project's estimated total GHG emission reductions (CO₂eq) to date?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Required:** Yes**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Cumulative carbon stock****Data element name:** Cumulative carbon stock**Description:** Estimated total cumulative change in carbon stock based on practice implementation. This is updated quarterly. If there are no changes, enter the same numbers as the previous quarter. Conversion rate is one ton of carbon = 3.67 tons of CO₂eq.**Data type:** Decimal**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CO₂eq**Logic:** None – all respond**Data collection level:** Project**Reporting question:** How much carbon has the project sequestered to date?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Required:** Yes**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Cumulative CO₂ benefit****Data element name:** Cumulative CO₂ benefit**Description:** Estimated total cumulative carbon dioxide emission reductions based on practice implementation. This is updated quarterly. If there are no changes, enter the same number as the previous quarter.**Data type:** Decimal**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CO₂**Logic:** None – all respond**Data collection level:** Project**Reporting question:** What are the project's estimated total cumulative CO₂ emission reductions to date?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Required:** Yes**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Cumulative CH₄ benefit****Data element name:** Cumulative CH₄ benefit**Description:** Estimated total cumulative methane reduction based on practice implementation. This is updated quarterly. If there are no changes, enter the same numbers as the previous quarter. Conversion rate is one ton of CH₄ = 25 tons of CO₂eq.**Data type:** Decimal**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CH₄ reduced in CO₂eq**Logic:** None – all respond**Data collection level:** Project**Reporting question:** What are the project's estimated total CH₄ emission reductions to date?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Required:** Yes**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Cumulative N2O benefit

Data element name: Cumulative N2O benefit	Reporting question: What are the project's estimated total N2O emission reductions to date?
Description: Estimated total cumulative nitrous oxide reduction based on practice implementation. This is updated quarterly. If there are no updated numbers enter the same number as the previous quarter. Conversion rate is one ton of N ₂ O = 298 tons of CO ₂ eq.	
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Metric tons N2O reduced in CO ₂ eq	Allowed values: 0-10,000,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Offsets produced

Data element name: Offsets produced	Reporting question: How many carbon offsets have been produced in the project?
Description: Total carbon offsets produced by enrolled project fields during the quarter. Offsets are defined as having been verified and certified using an accepted standard and sold into the carbon marketplace.	
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Metric tons CO ₂ eq	Allowed values: 0-10,000,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Offsets sale

Data element name: Offsets sale	Reporting question: To what marketplace(s) were carbon offsets sold?
Description: Marketplaces to which carbon offsets produced by enrolled project fields were sold. Offsets are defined as having been verified and certified using an accepted standard and sold into the carbon marketplace. List each marketplace name. Separate names with commas.	
Data type: Text	Select multiple values: NA
Measurement unit: Name	Allowed values: Text
Logic: Respond if >0 to 'Offsets produced'	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Offsets price

Data element name: Offsets price	Reporting question: What was the average price of carbon received for offsets?
Description: Average price per metric ton paid for carbon offsets produced by enrolled project fields. Offsets are defined as having been verified and certified using an accepted standard and sold into the carbon marketplace.	
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Dollars per metric ton	Allowed values: 0-500
Logic: Respond if >0 to 'Offsets produced'	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Insets produced

Data element name: Insets produced	Reporting question: How many carbon insets have been produced in the project?
Description: Total carbon insets produced by enrolled fields during the quarter. Insets are defined as having been verified and certified using an accepted standard and accounted for within Scope 3 emissions for a firm.	
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Metric tons CO ₂ eq	Allowed values: 0-10,000,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Cost of on-farm TA**Data element name:** Cost of on-farm TA**Reporting question:** What is the total amount that has been spent to provide on-farm TA?**Description:** Total cost of any field- or practice-specific technical assistance provided by the project (by recipient or partners) to any producers. This is updated quarterly. If there are no changes, enter the same number as the previous quarter.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Dollars**Allowed values:** \$0-\$50,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**MMRV cost****Data element name:** MMRV cost**Reporting question:** What is the total amount that has been spent on MMRV activities?**Description:** Total cost of all MMRV activities paid for by the project (recipient or partners). MMRV components are defined as measurement (calculations or estimations of GHG emissions), monitoring (ongoing review and confirmation that the climate-smart practices have been implemented according to the agreed upon standard and documentation of any changes in the site, implementation, or GHG emissions impacts over time), reporting (documenting and sharing monitoring and measurement results with project partners, the recipient, and any third-party verification organization), and verification (independent confirmation that measurement, monitoring and reporting information are complete, accurate and reliable). This is updated quarterly. If there are no changes, enter the same number as the previous quarter.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Dollars**Allowed values:** \$0-\$50,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**GHG monitoring method****Data element name:** GHG monitoring 1-5**Reporting question:** How did the project monitor GHG benefits?**Description:** Up to the five most common forms of monitoring GHG benefits used this quarter as part of MMRV requirements. Monitoring is defined as ongoing review and confirmation that the climate-smart practice has been implemented according to the agreed upon standard and documentation of any changes in the site, implementation, or GHG emissions impacts over time. Include up to 5 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this project. The worksheet provides five columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 5 GHG monitoring methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other GHG monitoring methods as free text.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Drones
- Ground-level photos and videos
- On-farm visit
- Plot-based sampling
- Producer records or attestation
- Satellite monitoring or remote sensing
- Soil metagenomics
- Soil sensors
- Water sensors
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

GHG reporting method**Data element name:** GHG reporting 1-5**Reporting question:** How did the project track and report implementation of practices to reduce GHG emissions?

Description: Up to the five most common forms of tracking and reporting on practice implementation used this year as part of MMRV requirements. Reporting is defined as documenting and sharing monitoring and measurement results with project partners, the recipient, and any third-party verification organization. Include up to 5 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this project. The worksheet provides five columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 5 GHG reporting methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other GHG reporting methods as free text.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Automated devices
- Email
- Mobile app
- Paper
- Third-party actors
- Website
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**GHG verification method****Data element name:** GHG verification method 1-5**Reporting question:** How did the project verify implementation of practices to reduce GHG emissions?

Description: Up to the five most common forms of verifying practice implementation used this year as part of MMRV requirements. Verification is defined as independent confirmation that measurement, monitoring and reporting information are complete, accurate and reliable. Include up to 5 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this project. The worksheet provides five columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 5 GHG verification methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other GHG verification methods as free text.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Artificial intelligence
- Audit by recipient
- Computer modeling
- Photos
- Record audit
- Satellite imagery
- Site or field visit
- Third-party audit
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Partner Activities**Unique IDs**

Partner ID	Unique Project ID for each partner
------------	------------------------------------

Partner name

Data element name: Name of partner organization	Reporting question: What is the official name of the recipient or partner organization?
Description: Legal name of recipient or partner organization	
Data type: Text	Select multiple values: NA
Measurement unit: NA	Allowed values: Text
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Partner	Data collection frequency: Partnership initiation

Partner type

Data element name: Type of partner organization	Reporting question: What type of organization is this?
Description: Legal/financial structure of recipient or partner organization	
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values: • Commodity groups (501c5) • For-profit • Individual • Nonprofit • State or local agency • Tribal agency • University
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Partner	Data collection frequency: Partnership initiation

Partner POC

Data element name: Partner POC	Reporting question: Who is the point of contact for this project at the recipient or partner organization?
Description: Name of a point of contact for the recipient or partner organization	
Data type: Text	Select multiple values: NA
Measurement unit: NA	Allowed values: Text
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Partner	Data collection frequency: Partnership initiation; update as necessary

Partner POC email

Data element name: Partner POC email	Reporting question: What is the point of contact's email address?
Description: Email of the point of contact for the recipient or partner organization	
Data type: Text	Select multiple values: NA
Measurement unit: NA	Allowed values: Text
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Partner	Data collection frequency: Partnership initiation; update as necessary



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Partnership start date

Data element name: Partnership start date	Reporting question: When did the partnership start?
Description: Date that the partner organization and the recipient began formally partnering on the project	
Data type: Date	Select multiple values: NA
Measurement unit: MM/DD/YYYY	Allowed values: 01/01/2023 – 12/31/2030
Logic: No response for recipient	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Partner	Data collection frequency: Partnership initiation

Partnership end date

Data element name: Partnership end date	Reporting question: When did the partnership end?
Description: Date that the partner organization and the recipient stopped formally partnering on the project	
Data type: Date	Select multiple values: NA
Measurement unit: MM/DD/YYYY	Allowed values: 01/01/2023 – 12/31/2030
Logic: No response for recipient	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Partner	Data collection frequency: Partnership end quarter

New partnership

Data element name: New partnership	Reporting question: Is this a new partnership?
Description: A new partnership means that the recipient and the partner organization have not had a formal working relationship (under contract or on a grant) prior to the start of the project.	
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values: • Yes • No • I don't know
Logic: No response for recipient	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Partner	Data collection frequency: Partnership initiation

Partner total requested

Data element name: Partner total requested	Reporting question: What is the total amount of funding the partner has requested to date from this project?
Description: Cumulative (total) amount of funds that the partner has requested reimbursement for from the recipient from the start of the partnership to the end of the reporting quarter. For each quarter's data entry, the value must be the sum of all previous entries plus the amount of funds requested in the reporting quarter. If there are no changes, report the value from the previous quarter.	
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: NA
Measurement unit: Dollars	Allowed values: \$0-\$100,000,000
Logic: No response for recipient	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Partner	Data collection frequency: Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Total match contribution**Data element name:** Total match contribution**Reporting question:** What is the total match value the organization has contributed to the project to date?

Description: Cumulative (total) value of funds and in-kind contributions (e.g., staff time, inputs, equipment rental, marketing support) that the partner has provided as a project match contribution from the start of the partnership to the end of the reporting quarter. For each quarter's data entry, the value must be the sum of all previous entries plus match contributions in the reporting quarter. If there are no changes, report the value from the previous quarter.

Data type: Decimal**Select multiple values:** NA**Measurement unit:** Dollars**Allowed values:** \$0-\$100,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Partner**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Total match incentives****Data element name:** Total match incentives**Reporting question:** What is the total value of match provided by this organization for producer incentives?

Description: Cumulative (total) value of funds for incentive payments directly to producers that the partner has provided as a project match contribution from the start of the partnership to the end of the reporting quarter. For each quarter's data entry, the value must be the sum of all previous entries plus match incentives in the reporting quarter. If there are no changes, report the value from the previous quarter.

Data type: Decimal**Select multiple values:** NA**Measurement unit:** Dollars**Allowed values:** \$0-\$100,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Partner**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Match type****Data element name:** Match type 1-3**Reporting question:** What types of match contributions has the organization provided to the project?

Description: Types of match contributions *other than incentives* provided directly to producers by the organization from the start of the partnership to the end of the reporting quarter. Enter up to the top three (in dollar value) types of match contributions provided. In-kind staff time could be used for technical assistance, marketing assistance, or other support to producers. Production inputs include seed, fertilizer, pesticides, equipment and other inputs for use in the field. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 match types are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other match types as free text.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Equipment rental or use
- In-kind staff time
- Production inputs (reduced cost or free)
- Program income
- Software
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Partner**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Match amount**Data element name:** Match amount 1-3**Reporting question:** What is the value of the match contributions the organization provided to the project?

Description: Cumulative (total) value of funds for each match type that the organization has provided as a project match contribution from the start of the partnership to the end of the reporting quarter. Enter amounts for up to the top three (in dollar value) match types. The worksheet provides three columns for this data element. Enter one value for each column. If fewer than 3 match types are used, leave unnecessary columns blank.

Data type: Decimal**Select multiple values:** NA**Measurement unit:** Dollars**Allowed values:** \$0-\$100,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Partner**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Training type provided****Data element name:** Training type 1-3 provided**Reporting question:** What types of training has the organization provided to project partners?

Description: Types of training provided to the project partner as a result of participating in the project during the past quarter. Training can come from the recipient, a project partner organization (including other divisions of their own organization, or an outside organization. Enter up to the top three (in dollar value) types of partner training provided. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 training types are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter other training types as free text.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Data collection
- Grant reporting
- Marketing opportunities
- Providing financial assistance
- Providing technical assistance
- Writing producer contracts
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Partner**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Activity by partner****Data element name:** Activity 1-3 by partner**Reporting question:** What types of activities has the organization provided to the project?

Description: Types of activities that the recipient or partner organization has provided during the reporting quarter. Enter up to the top three (in dollar value) types of activities undertaken. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 activity types are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter other activity types as free text.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Marketing support
- MMRV support
- Producer outreach for enrollment
- Technical assistance to producers
- Training to other partner organizations
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Partner**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Activity cost**Data element name:** Activity cost 1-3**Reporting question:** What is the value of the activities this organization has provided to the project?

Description: Cumulative (total) cost of each activity type that the organization has undertaken or offered from the start of the partnership to the end of the reporting quarter. Enter amounts for up to the top three (in dollar value) activity types. The worksheet provides three columns for this data element. Enter one value for each column. If fewer than 3 activity types are provided, leave unnecessary columns blank.

Data type: Decimal**Select multiple values:** NA**Measurement unit:** Dollars**Allowed values:** \$0-\$100,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Partner**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Products supplied****Data element name:** Products supplied**Reporting question:** What products or supplies were provided to enrolled fields?

Description: Name(s) of products supplied to enrolled producers as incentives or matching contributions. Enter the name of each product, including its brand. Separate each product name with a comma. If no products or supplies were provided by the organization, leave the column blank.

Data type: Text**Select multiple values:** NA**Measurement unit:** Name**Allowed values:** Text**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Partner**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Product source****Data element name:** Product source**Reporting question:** Which companies provided the supplies?

Description: Name of firm or company from which supplies were obtained.

Data type: Text**Select multiple values:** NA**Measurement unit:** Name**Allowed values:** Text**Logic:** Respond if text entered for 'Products supplied'**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Partner**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Marketing Activities**Commodity type**

Data element name: Commodity type	Reporting question: What type of commodity is produced by the farmers enrolled in this project?
Description: List a single commodity produced or marketed through incentives from this project. If multiple commodities are produced by the project, use additional rows of the worksheet to report each commodity. Use the FSA commodity list in Appendix B and choose the commodity from the list.	
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values: FSA commodity list
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Marketing channel type

Data element name: Marketing channel type	Reporting question: What type of marketing channel is used to sell this commodity?
Description: List a single type of marketing channel used to sell the commodity produced by farmers enrolled in the project. If a single commodity is marketed through multiple channels, use additional rows of the worksheet to report each combination of commodity and marketing channel. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter the other marketing channel type(s) as free text.	
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values: • Agricultural marketing board • Biorefinery • Commodity broker • Direct to consumer • Direct to institution • Direct to restaurant • Distributor (including grain elevators) • Food hub or cooperative • Food processor • Non-food byproducts processor • Retailer • USDA • Other (specify)
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Number of buyers

Data element name: Number of buyers	Reporting question: How many buyers are there in this marketing channel?
Description: List the number of individual firms or buyers in this marketing channel.	
Data type: Integer	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Count	Allowed values: 1-500
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Names of buyers

Data element name: Names of buyers	Reporting question: What are the names of all of the buyers in this marketing channel?
Description: Provide the names of all buyers in this marketing channel. Separate each name with a comma.	
Data type: Text	Select multiple values: NA
Measurement unit: Name	Allowed values: Text
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Marketing channel geography

Data element name: Marketing channel geography	Reporting question: What is the primary geography of the marketing channel?
Description: The primary geography of the type of marketing channel. Primary geography means the scale at which most of the activity of buying and selling happens. Local means within a single state or directly neighboring states. Regional means within a five-to-ten state area. National means across the United States. International means specific locations outside of the United States. Global means across the world or not to a specific international location.	
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values: • Local • Regional • National • Global
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Value sold

Data element name: Value sold	Reporting question: What is the value of the commodity sold in this marketing channel?
Description: The dollar value of the commodity sold in this marketing channel this quarter (non-cumulative).	
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Dollars	Allowed values: \$1-\$100,000,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Volume sold

Data element name: Volume sold	Reporting question: What is the volume of the commodity sold in this marketing channel?
Description: The volume of the commodity sold in this marketing channel this quarter (non-cumulative).	
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Number	Allowed values: 1-100,000,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Volume sold unit**Data element name:** Volume sold unit**Reporting question:** What is the unit of volume?**Description:** The unit associated with the volume of the commodity sold in the marketing channel. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter the appropriate unit as free text.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Bales (500 pounds)
- Bushels
- Carcass pounds
- Gallons
- Kilograms
- Linear board feet
- Liveweight pounds
- Metric tons
- Pounds
- Short tons
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Price premium****Data element name:** Price premium**Reporting question:** What price premium is received for the commodity sold in this marketing channel?**Description:** The price premium received for the commodity sold in this marketing channel this quarter. Price premium is the amount received above a 'business as usual' price.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Dollars**Allowed values:** \$0.01-\$10,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Price premium unit****Data element name:** Price premium unit**Reporting question:** What is the unit for the price premium?**Description:** The unit associated with the price premium for the commodity sold in the marketing channel. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter the appropriate unit as free text.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Per bale (500 pounds)
- Per bushel
- Per carcass pound
- Per gallon
- Per kilogram
- Per linear board foot
- Per live pound
- Per metric ton
- Per ounce
- Per short ton
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Price premium to producer**Data element name:** Price premium to producer**Reporting question:** What percent of the price premium is provided to the producer for the commodity sold in this marketing channel?**Description:** The percent of the price premium provided to the producer for the commodity sold in this marketing channel this quarter. Price premium is the amount received above a 'business as usual' price.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Percent**Allowed values:** 0-100**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Product differentiation method****Data element name:** Product differentiation method 1-3**Reporting question:** What methods are used to differentiate climate-smart commodities in this marketing channel?**Description:** Provide the methods used to differentiate the climate-smart commodity in this market channel. Product differentiation methods are ways to distinguish or differentiate the climate-smart commodity in the marketplace. Include up to 3 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this project. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 product differentiation methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other product differentiation methods as free text.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Certification/verification for internal insetting
- Farm certification
- Label or badge used on packaging or marketing
- Third party certification/verification
- Trademark
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Marketing method****Data element name:** Marketing method 1-3**Reporting question:** What methods are used to market climate-smart commodities in this marketing channel?**Description:** Provide the method(s) used to market this commodity in this market channel. Marketing method is the way that potential buyers of the climate-smart commodity are engaged by the project partners as the sellers or facilitators of sale. Include up to 3 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this project. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 marketing methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other marketing methods as free text**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Label or badge used on packaging or marketing materials
- Marketing partnership (e.g., promotion by buyer)
- Print marketing campaign
- Social media and digital marketing campaign
- Verbal marketing campaign (e.g., radio, word of mouth)
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Marketing channel identification method**Data element name:** Marketing channel identification method 1-3**Reporting question:** What methods are used to generate interest in climate-smart commodities in this marketing channel?

Description: Provide the marketing channel identification method(s) used for this commodity in this market channel. Market channel identification methods are the ways that producers and project partners generate interest in purchasing the climate-smart commodity. Include up to 3 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this project. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 marketing channel identification methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other marketing channel identification methods as free text

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Educational tours for buyers
- In-person lead generation
- Negotiated contracts with buyers
- Partnership network or project partner
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Traceability method****Data element name:** Traceability method 1-3**Reporting question:** What traceability methods are used for climate-smart commodities in this channel?

Description: Provide the traceability method(s) used for the climate-smart commodity in this market channel. Traceability methods are ways to trace the climate-smart commodity or the climate-smart claims through the supply chain. Include up to 3 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this project. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 traceability methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other traceability methods as free text.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Barcode or unique ID
- Blockchain
- Book and claim
- Chain of custody
- Mass balance
- Recordkeeping
- Registry with certification
- Segregation
- Supply shed
- Volume proxy
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Producer Enrollment**Unique IDs**

Farm ID	Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA
State or territory	State name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)
County of residence	County name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)

Producer data change**Data element name:** Producer data change**Reporting question:** Is there new/updated information for a producer who is re-enrolling in the project?**Description:** Indicates that there is new or updated information for a producer who had previously enrolled in the project and is re-enrolling.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Re-enrollment**Producer start date****Data element name:** Producer start date**Reporting question:** When did the producer enroll in the project?**Description:** Date that the producer enrolled in the project by signing their first contract.**Data type:** Date**Select multiple values:** NA**Measurement unit:** MM/DD/YYYY**Allowed values:** 01/01/2023 – 12/31/2030**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment**Producer name****Data element name:** Producer name**Reporting question:** What is the name of producer enrolled in the project?**Description:** Name of the producer enrolled in the project; the name must match the name contained in the customer's Business Partner record and the Farm Operating Plan in FSA Business File for that Farm ID.**Data type:** Text**Select multiple values:** NA**Measurement unit:** NA**Allowed values:** Text**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Underserved status**Data element name:** Underserved status**Reporting question:** Is this producer considered an underserved and/or a small producer?

Description: Underserved status of the primary operator of the enrolled operation. Underserved producers generally include beginning farmers, socially disadvantaged farmers, veteran farmers, and limited resource farmers; women farmers and producers growing specialty crops are generally also included in these categories. Small farms are generally those with less than \$350,000 in annual gross cash farm income. Indicate whether this producer is considered underserved, a small producer, or both underserved and a small producer. Use "I don't know" if the producer declines to answer. Departmental Regulation 4370-001 provides USDA's policies for collecting demographic data, including race, ethnicity and gender. Providing demographic information is voluntary and at the discretion of the customer. Demographic information is used by USDA for statistical purposes only and will not be used to determine an applicant's eligibility for programs or services for which they apply.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Yes, underserved
- Yes, small producer
- Yes, underserved and small producer
- No
- I don't know

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** No**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment**Total area****Data element name:** Total area**Reporting question:** What is the total area of the farm?

Description: Total area of the farm associated with the Farm ID. Report total area of the farm, even if only a portion of the farm is enrolled in the project. If a producer is enrolled in the project for multiple years, review the total area each time a new contract is signed and provide any necessary updates.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Less than 1 acre
- 1 to 9 acres
- 10 to 49 acres
- 50 to 69 acres
- 70 to 99 acres
- 100 to 139 acres
- 140 to 179 acres
- 180 to 219 acres
- 220 to 259 acres
- 260 to 499 acres
- 500 to 999 acres
- 1,000 to 1,999 acres
- 2,000 to 4,999 acres
- 5,000 or more acres

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment and subsequent enrollment(s), if applicable



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Total crop area

Data element name: Total crop area **Reporting question:** What percent of the current operation is cropland?

Description: Area of the total farm that is currently used as cropland. If a producer is enrolled in the project for multiple years, review the total crop area each time a new contract is signed and provide any necessary updates.

Data type: Integer

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Acres

Allowed values: 0-100,000

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Producer

Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment and subsequent enrollment(s), if applicable

Total livestock area

Data element name: Total livestock area **Reporting question:** What amount of the current operation is used for livestock (by area)?

Description: Area of the total farm that is currently used for pasture, grazing, rangeland; or animal housing, feeding or milking. If a producer is enrolled in the project for multiple years, review the total livestock area each time a new contract is signed and provide any necessary updates.

Data type: Integer

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Acres

Allowed values: 0-100,000

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Producer

Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment and subsequent enrollment(s), if applicable

Total forest area

Data element name: Total forest area **Reporting question:** What amount of the current operation is forested (by area)?

Description: Area of the total farm that is currently considered forest land use. Forest land use means that at least 10% of the land area is covered in trees that will be at least 13 feet tall when mature. If a producer is enrolled in the project for multiple years, review the total forest area each time a new contract is signed and provide any necessary updates.

Data type: Integer

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Acres

Allowed values: 0-100,000

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Producer

Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment and subsequent enrollment(s), if applicable



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Livestock type**Data element name:** Livestock type 1-3**Reporting question:** What types of livestock are raised on the farm?

Description: Up to top three types of livestock (by head count) on the farm. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If there are fewer than 3 livestock types, leave unnecessary columns blank. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter other livestock types as free text. If a producer is enrolled in the project for multiple years, review the livestock type each time a new contract is signed and provide any necessary updates.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Alpacas
- Beef cows
- Beefalo
- Buffalo or bison
- Chickens (broilers)
- Chickens (layers)
- Dairy cows
- Deer
- Ducks
- Elk
- Emus
- Equine
- Geese
- Goats
- Honeybees
- Llamas
- Reindeer
- Sheep
- Swine
- Turkeys
- Other (specify)

Logic: Respond if ‘Total livestock area’ >0**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment and subsequent enrollment(s), if applicable**Livestock head****Data element name:** Livestock head 1-3**Reporting question:** How many livestock (by type) are on this operation?

Description: Average annual head count for each type of livestock. Enter amounts for up to the top three livestock types by number. The worksheet provides three columns for this data element. Enter one value for each column. If there are fewer than 3 livestock types, leave unnecessary columns blank. If a producer is enrolled in the project for multiple years, review the average annual head count each time a new contract is signed and provide any necessary updates.

Data type: Integer**Select multiple values:** NA**Measurement unit:** Head count**Allowed values:** 1-10,000,000**Logic:** Respond if ‘Total livestock area’ >0**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment and subsequent enrollment(s), if applicable



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Organic farm**Data element name:** Organic farm**Reporting question:** Is any part of the farm currently USDA-certified organic or transitioning to USDA-certified organic?

Description: USDA-certified organic means that the farm has been certified by an accredited organic certifying agent or is transitioning to USDA-certified organic by not using any of the prohibited substances. Yes means that some or all of the farm is certified organic or transitioning to certified organic. No means that no part of the farm is certified organic or transitioning to certified organic. If a producer is enrolled in the project for multiple years, review the organic certification status of the farm each time a new contract is signed and provide any necessary updates.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** No**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment and subsequent enrollment(s), if applicable**Organic fields****Data element name:** Organic fields**Reporting question:** Are any of the fields enrolled in the project currently USDA-certified organic or transitioning to USDA-certified organic?

Description: USDA-certified organic means that the operation has been certified by an accredited organic certifying agent or is transitioning to USDA-certified organic by not using any of the prohibited substances. Yes means that some or all of the fields enrolled in the project are certified organic or transitioning to certified organic. No means that no part of the fields enrolled in the project are certified organic or transitioning to certified organic. If a producer is enrolled in the project for multiple years, review the organic certification status of the enrolled fields each time a new contract is signed and provide any necessary updates.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Logic: Respond if yes to 'Organic operation'**Required:** No**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment and subsequent enrollment(s), if applicable**Producer motivation****Data element name:** Producer motivation**Reporting question:** Which of the following was the primary reason the producer enrolled in this project?**Description:** Primary operator's motivation for enrolling in the project.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Financial benefit
- Environmental benefit
- New market opportunity
- Partnerships or networks
- Other

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Producer outreach**Data element name:** Producer outreach 1-3**Reporting question:** What types of outreach were provided to producers?

Description: Up to three most common types of outreach provided to producer prior to enrollment. Outreach activities are those focused on identifying and enrolling producers in the project. Outreach can come from the recipient or project partners. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If there are fewer than 3 outreach types, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other outreach types as free text.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** Yes**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Commodity organizations
- Conferences
- Cooperative extension
- Digital communications and resources
- Education workshops, field days, and town halls
- Existing partner networks
- Farm visits and one-on-one meetings
- General advertising
- Peer referrals and producer groups
- Phone calls
- Print communications and resources
- Retailers
- State agencies
- Targeted messaging using proprietary data
- Technical service providers
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment**CSAF experience****Data element name:** CSAF experience**Reporting question:** Has the primary operator implemented CSAF practices in the last ten years anywhere on the farm?

Description: Has this farm implemented climate-smart agriculture or forestry (CSAF) practices anywhere on the farm in the past 10 years or since the current primary operator took control (whichever time period is shorter)? CSAF practices are included in a list in Appendix A.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

CSAF federal funds**Data element name:** CSAF federal funds**Reporting question:** Were prior CSAF practices supported by federal funds?

Description: If this farm (under the primary operator) has implemented CSAF practices in the last ten years, was implementation supported by federal funds? Federal funds are defined as being from programs including, but not limited to, those from the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), including through Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP), Conservation Stewardship Program (CSP), Regional Conservation Partnership Program (RCPP), or related programs), the Farm Service Agency Conservation Reserve Program (CRP), as well as funds from other USDA programs or other federal agencies.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Logic: Respond if yes to 'CSAF experience'**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment**CSAF state or local funds****Data element name:** CSAF state or local funds**Reporting question:** Were prior CSAF practices supported by state or local funds?

Description: If this farm (under the primary operator) has implemented CSAF practices in the last ten years, was implementation supported by state funds? State or local funds are those from state departments of agriculture or other state agencies, local water quality districts and other local agencies.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Logic: Respond if yes to 'CSAF experience'**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment**CSAF nonprofit funds****Data element name:** CSAF nonprofit funds**Reporting question:** Were CSAF practices supported by nonprofit funds?

Description: If this farm (under the primary operator) has implemented CSAF practices in the last ten years, was implementation supported by nonprofit funds? Nonprofit funds are those offered directly from a nonprofit organization to a producer.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Logic: Respond if yes to 'CSAF experience'**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

CSAF market incentives**Data element name:** CSAF market incentives**Reporting question:** Were CSAF practices supported by market incentives?**Description:** If this farm (under the primary operator) has implemented CSAF practices in the last ten years, was implementation supported by market incentives? Market incentives include premiums paid by a commodity buyer or by a consumer based on branding or labeling as a climate-smart commodity.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Logic: Respond if yes to 'CSAF experience'**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Field Enrollment**Unique IDs**

Farm ID	Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA
Tract ID	Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA
Field ID	Unique Field ID assigned by FSA
State or territory of field	State name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)
County of field	County name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)
Prior Field ID, if applicable	Prior Field ID assigned by FSA if there has been reconstitution of the farm resulting in a new Field ID during the field's enrollment in the project

Field data change**Data element name:** Field data change**Reporting question:** Has the information previously reported for this field changed?**Description:** Indicator that this entry is being used to report any relevant changes, such as a new Field ID number or changes to the commodity or practice combinations, for a field that has previously been enrolled in the project.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Re-enrollment**Contract start date****Data element name:** Contract start date**Reporting question:** What is the start date of the contract with the producer that includes this field?**Description:** Start date listed on the contract that enrolls the field in the project.**Data type:** Date**Select multiple values:** NA**Measurement unit:** MM/DD/YYYY**Allowed values:** 01/01/2023 – 12/31/2030**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment**Total field area****Data element name:** Total field area**Reporting question:** What is the total size of the enrolled field?**Description:** Total size of the field enrolled with the project.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Acres**Allowed values:** .01-500**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Commodity category**Data element name:** Commodity category**Reporting question:** What category of commodity(ies) is (are) produced from this field?**Description:** Category of commodity(ies) produced in field enrolled in the project**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Crops
- Livestock
- Trees
- Crops and livestock
- Crops and trees
- Livestock and trees
- Crops, livestock and trees

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment**Commodity type****Data element name:** Commodity type**Reporting question:** What type of commodity is produced from this field?**Description:** Type of commodity produced in field enrolled in the project. See full list in Appendix B. The worksheet provides a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose the appropriate value. Enter additional commodities in subsequent rows.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:** FSA commodity list**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment**Baseline yield****Data element name:** Baseline yield**Reporting question:** What is the baseline yield of this field?**Description:** Average annual yield of commodity in 3 years prior to enrollment. Provide yield for the enrolled field if possible. If not at field level, provide average annual yield for the specific commodity for the operation.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Production per acre or animal**Allowed values:** .01-100,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Baseline yield unit**Data element name:** Baseline yield unit**Reporting question:** Baseline yield unit

Description: Unit of average annual yield of commodity in enrolled field in 3 years prior to enrollment. The worksheet provides a drop-down list of choices for this data element. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter the appropriate yield unit as free text.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Animal units per acre
- Bushels per acre
- Carcass pounds per animal
- Head per acre
- Hundred-weights (or pounds) per head
- Linear feet per acre
- Liveweight pounds per animal
- Pounds per acre
- Tons per acre
- Other (specify)

Required: Yes**Logic:** None – all respond**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment**Baseline yield location****Data element name:** Baseline yield location**Reporting question:** For what portion of the operation is the baseline yield being reported?

Description: Location of the reported average annual yield of commodity in 3 years prior to enrollment. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter the appropriate location as free text.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Enrolled field
- Whole operation
- Other (specify)

Required: Yes**Logic:** None – all respond**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment**Field land use****Data element name:** Field land use**Reporting question:** What is this field's land use history?

Description: Prior to enrollment, what was the most common land use for this field in the past 3 years?

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Crop land
- Forest land
- Non-agriculture
- Other agricultural land
- Pasture
- Range

Required: Yes**Logic:** None – all respond**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Field irrigated**Data element name:** Field irrigated**Reporting question:** What is this field's irrigation history?**Description:** Prior to enrollment, what was the most common irrigation practice on this field the past 3 years?**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- No irrigation
- Center pivot
- Drip-subsurface
- Drip-surface
- Flood/border
- Furrow/ditch
- Lateral/linear sprinklers
- Micro-sprinklers
- Seepage
- Side roll
- Solid set sprinklers
- Supplemental
- Surface
- Traveling gun/towline
- Wheel Line
- Other

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment**Field tillage****Data element name:** Field tillage**Reporting question:** What is this field's tillage history?**Description:** Prior to enrollment, what was the most common tillage approach during the past 3 years?**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- None
- Conventional, inversion
- Conventional, vertical
- No-till, direct seed
- Reduced till, inversion
- Reduced till, vertical
- Strip till
- Other

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Practice past extent - farm**Data element name:** Practice past extent - farm**Description:** Prior to enrollment, on what portion of the whole farm had this (these) CSAF practice(s) ever been used by the primary operator? If multiple practices are planned to be implemented in this field, enter the value that best corresponds to the farm's prior experience with the planned set of practices.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Reporting question:** What percent of the farm has implemented this CSAF practice (combination) previously?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Never used
- Used on less than 25% of operation
- Used on 25-50% of operation
- Used on 51-75% of operation
- Used on more than 75% of operation

Required: Yes**Logic:** None – all respond**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment**Field any CSAF practice****Data element name:** Field any CSAF practice**Description:** Prior to enrollment, have any CSAF practice or practices been used in this field in the past 3 years? CSAF practices are included in a list in Appendix A.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Reporting question:** What is this field's prior experience with CSAF practices?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Required: Yes**Logic:** None – all respond**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment**Practice past use - this field****Data element name:** Practice past use - this field**Description:** Prior to enrollment, had this (these) CSAF practice(s) been used in this field in the in the past 3 years? Enter yes if all of the practices had been used previously in this field; enter some if multiple practices are being implemented and one or more, but not all of the practices had been used previously in this field; and enter no if none of the practices had been used previously in this field.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Reporting question:** Have this CSAF practice (combination) been implemented previously in this field?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- Some
- No
- I don't know

Required: Yes**Logic:** None – all respond**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Practice type**Data element name:** Practice type 1-7**Reporting question:** What CSAF practice is being implemented in this field through the project?

Description: Which CSAF practice or practices will be implemented on this field as part of enrollment in the project? CSAF practices are included in a list in Appendix A. The worksheet provides seven columns for this data element. Enter one value for each column. If there are fewer than 7 practices being implemented on this field through enrollment in the project, leave unnecessary columns blank.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:** See list in Appendix A**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment**Practice standard****Data element name:** Practice standard 1-7**Reporting question:** What standard does the CSAF practice follow?

Description: Is the CSAF practice being implemented on the field as part of enrollment in the project following a defined practice standard? The worksheet provides seven columns for this data element. Enter one value for each column, corresponding to the practice types entered in the previous columns. If there are fewer than 7 practices being implemented on this field through enrollment in the project, leave unnecessary columns blank.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- NRCS
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment**Planned practice implementation year****Data element name:** Practice 1-7 implementation year**Reporting question:** What year is the CSAF practice planned to be implemented?

Description: Year that the CSAF practice is planned to be implemented on the field. Use 2022 for early adopters, defined as fields that have the practice actively implemented in 2022 (prior to contract being signed for this project). The worksheet provides seven columns for this data element. Enter one value for each column, corresponding to the practice types entered in the previous columns. If there are fewer than 7 practices being implemented on this field through enrollment in the project, leave unnecessary columns blank.

Data type: Integer**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Year**Allowed values:** 2022-2030**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment**Practice extent****Data element name:** Practice 1-7 extent**Reporting question:** To what extent is the practice implemented?

Description: Total area, length, or head where the practice is being implemented in the field specified by the contract.

Data type: Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Extent**Allowed values:** .01-100,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Practice extent unit**Data element name:** Practice 1-7
extent unit**Reporting question:** Unit for extent of practice implementation**Description:** Unit for extent of practice implementation on the field specified by the contract. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter the appropriate unit.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Acres
- Head of livestock
- Linear feet
- Square feet
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollmentCSAF Practice Sub-questions

For certain practices, additional questions are asked that provide information necessary to estimate greenhouse gas benefits from implementation of the practice. See Table 11 in the *CSAF Practice Sub-questions* section for descriptions of individual questions to be answered depending on the CSAF practices selected.



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Farm Summary**Unique IDs**

Farm ID	Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA
State or territory	State name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)
County of residence	County name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)

Producer TA received

Data element name: Producer TA received
1-3

Reporting question: What types of technical assistance were provided to this producer?

Description: Did the recipient or any partner provide technical assistance (TA) to the producer this year? Technical assistance is any training, education, capacity building or other support provided by any project partner(s) directly to producers enrolled in the project. List up to the top three most common types of TA provided to this producer. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If there are fewer than 3 TA types, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other TA types as free text.

Data type: List

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Allowed values:

- Demonstration plots
- Equipment demonstrations
- Group field days or in-person field workshops
- Hotline
- One-on-one enrollment assistance
- One-on-one field visits
- One-on-one producer mentorship
- Producer networks and peer-to-peer groups
- Retailer consultation
- Social media/digital tools
- Train-the-trainer opportunities
- Virtual meetings or field days
- Webinars and videos
- Written materials
- None
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Producer

Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Producer incentive amount

Data element name: Producer incentive amount

Reporting question: What is the total value of financial incentives provided to this producer?

Description: Total incentive payment received by the producer from USDA project funds for the year (non-cumulative). Do not include incentive payments made with partner match funds.

Data type: Decimal

Select multiple values: NA

Measurement unit: Dollars

Allowed values: \$0-\$5,000,000

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Producer

Data collection frequency: Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Incentive reason

Data element name: Incentive reason 1-4 **Reporting question:** Why were incentives provided to this producer?

Description: List up to four reasons for producer incentive payments. List the top 4 based on total value of the incentive for each reason. The worksheet provides four columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If there are fewer than 4 reasons, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other reasons as free text.

Data type: List

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Allowed values:

- Avoided conversion
- Conference or training attendance
- Demographics/equity payment
- Enrollment
- Foregone revenue
- Historic data collection
- Identity preservation (supply chain tracing)
- Implementation of practices
- MMRV (e.g., data collection, reporting)
- Passing audit
- Price premium on output
- Yield change
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Producer

Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Incentive structure

Data element name: Incentive structure 1-4 **Reporting question:** What are the units for the financial incentives provided to this producer?

Description: List the structures (units) corresponding to the top 4 (by dollar value) incentive payments to producers. Production unit is weight or volume (bushel, kilogram, ton). The worksheet provides four columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If there are fewer than 4 structure types, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other structure types as free text.

Data type: List

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Allowed values:

- Flat rate
- Per animal head
- Per area
- Per length
- Per production unit
- Per ton GHG
- Per tree
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Producer

Data collection frequency: Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Incentive type**Data element name:** Incentive type 1-4**Reporting question:** What type of incentives were provided to each producer?

Description: List the top 4 types of incentive payments to producers (based on dollar value). The worksheet provides four columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If there are fewer than 4 incentive types, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other incentive types as free text.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Cash payment
- Equipment loan
- Guaranteed commodity premium payment
- Inputs and supplies
- Land rental
- Loan
- Paid labor
- Post-harvest transportation
- Tuition or fees for training
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Payment on enrollment****Data element name:** Payment on enrollment**Reporting question:** What portion of the financial incentive is provided to the producer upon enrollment in the project?

Description: Any incentive payment provided to the producer upon enrollment/signing a contract, and not related to any implementation, MMRV or sales activities. Full payment means the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon enrollment. Partial payment means that only part of the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon enrollment. No payment means that none of the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon enrollment.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Full payment
- Partial payment
- No payment

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Payment on implementation****Data element name:** Payment on implementation**Reporting question:** What portion of the financial incentive is provided to the producer upon implementation of the practices?

Description: Any incentive payment provided to the producer upon implementing the practices included in the contract. Full payment means the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon implementation. Partial payment means that only part of the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon implementation. No payment means that none of the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon implementation.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Full payment
- Partial payment
- No payment

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Payment on harvest**Data element name:** Payment on harvest**Reporting question:** What portion of the financial incentive is provided to the producer upon harvest of the commodity?

Description: Any incentive payment provided to the producer upon harvesting or slaughtering the commodity included in the contract. Full payment means the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon harvest. Partial payment means that only part of the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon harvest. No payment means that none of the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon harvest.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Full payment
- Partial payment
- No payment

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Payment on MMRV****Data element name:** Payment on MMRV**Reporting question:** What portion of the financial incentive is provided to the producer upon completing MMRV requirements?

Description: Any incentive payment provided to the producer upon completing the annual MMRV requirements included in the contract. Full payment means the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon MMRV being complete. Partial payment means that only part of the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon MMRV being complete. No payment means that none of the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon MMRV being complete.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Full payment
- Partial payment
- No payment

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Payment on sale****Data element name:** Payment on sale**Reporting question:** What portion of the financial incentive is provided to producer upon sale of the commodity?

Description: Any incentive payment provided to the producer upon sale of the commodity included in the contract. Full payment means the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon sale. Partial payment means that only part of the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon sale. No payment means that none of the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon sale.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Full payment
- Partial payment
- No payment

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Field Summary**Unique IDs**

Farm ID	Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA
Tract ID	Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA
Field ID	Unique Field ID assigned by FSA
State or territory of field	State name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)
County of field	County name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)

Commodity type

Data element name: Commodity type **Reporting question:** What type of commodity is produced from this field?

Description: Type of commodity produced in field enrolled in the project. See full list in Appendix B. The worksheet provides multiple columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. Leave unnecessary columns blank.

Data type: List

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Allowed values: FSA commodity list

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Field

Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Practice type

Data element name: Field practice type 1-7 **Reporting question:** What CSAF practice is being implemented in this field through the project?

Description: Which climate-smart agriculture or forestry (CSAF) practice or practices are being implemented in this project? CSAF practices are included in a list in Appendix A. The worksheet provides seven columns for this data element. Enter one value for each column. If there are fewer than 7 practices being implemented on this field through enrollment in the project, leave unnecessary columns blank.

Data type: List

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Allowed values: See list in Appendix A

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Field

Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Date practice complete

Data element name: Date practice complete **Reporting question:** When did the project certify CSAF practice implementation as complete?

Description: Date that the project certifies that implementation of the CSAF practice is complete on the field. Use January of the year prior to contract year for early adopters, defined as fields that have the practice actively implemented in the year prior to a contract associated with this project is signed). The worksheet provides seven columns for this data element. Enter one value for each column, corresponding to the practice types entered in the previous columns. If there are fewer than 7 practices being implemented on this field through enrollment in the project, leave unnecessary columns blank.

Data type: Date

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: MM/DD/YYYY

Allowed values: 01/01/2023 – 12/31/2030

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Field

Data collection frequency: Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Contract end date**Data element name:** Contract end date**Reporting question:** Contract end date**Description:** End date listed on the contract that enrolls the field in the project. If contract end date changes, submit updated end date during the next quarter's reporting.**Data type:** Date**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** MM/DD/YYYY**Allowed values:** 01/01/2023 – 12/31/2030**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**MMRV assistance provided****Data element name:** MMRV assistance provided**Reporting question:** Was MMRV assistance provided?**Description:** Was any MMRV assistance provided to the primary operator for this field? MMRV assistance includes in-field support for the use of technologies, consultation on data collection and input, and other support related to MMRV. MMRV is defined a measurement (calculations or estimations of GHG emissions), monitoring (ongoing review and confirmation that the climate-smart practice has been implemented according to the agreed upon standard and documentation of any changes in the site, implementation, or GHG emissions impacts over time), reporting (documenting and sharing monitoring and measurement results with project partners, the recipient, and any third-party verification organization), and verification (independent confirmation that measurement, monitoring and reporting information are complete, accurate and reliable).**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Marketing assistance provided****Data element name:** Marketing assistance provided**Reporting question:** Was marketing assistance provided?**Description:** Was any marketing assistance provided to the primary operator for the commodity(ies) produced from this field? Marketing assistance includes guaranteeing the sale of the commodity(ies), providing a platform for the sale of the commodity(ies), providing a label, branding, or other support related to marketing.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Incentive per acre or head****Data element name:** Incentive per acre or head**Reporting question:** Is this field receiving a per-acre or per-head incentive?**Description:** Is this field receiving an incentive payment to implement a specific CSAF practice or set of practices on a per-acre or per-head (livestock) basis?**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Field commodity value

Data element name: Field commodity value	Reporting question: What is the value of the commodity produced on the enrolled field?
Description: The dollar value of the commodity produced on the enrolled field.	
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Dollars	Allowed values: \$1-\$10,000,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Field commodity volume

Data element name: Field commodity volume	Reporting question: What is the volume of commodity produced on the enrolled field?
Description: The volume of the commodity produced on the enrolled field	
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Number	Allowed values: 1-10,000,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Field commodity volume unit

Data element name: Field commodity volume unit	Reporting question: What is the unit of volume?
Description: The unit associated with the volume of the commodity produced on the enrolled field. If “other” is chosen, enter the appropriate value in the additional column.	
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values: • Bushels • Carcass weight pounds • Gallons • Head • Linear feet • Liveweight pounds • Pounds • Tons • Other (specify)
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Cost of implementation

Data element name: Cost of implementation	Reporting question: What is the cost of practice implementation in the field?
Description: Total annual estimated cost per unit of implementing the practice(s) in the enrolled field.	
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Dollars	Allowed values: \$1-\$10,000,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Cost unit**Data element name:** Cost unit**Reporting question:** What is the unit for cost?**Description:** The unit associated with the cost of implementing CSAF practices in the field. If "other" is chosen, enter the appropriate value in the additional column.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Per acre
- Per bushel
- Per head
- Per linear foot
- Per pound
- Per ton
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Cost coverage****Data element name:** Cost coverage**Reporting question:** What percent of the practice cost is covered by the incentive?**Description:** Estimated proportion of total annual cost of implementing the practice(s) that is covered by project incentives.**Data type:** Integer**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Percent**Allowed values:** 0-100**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Field GHG monitoring****Data element name:** Field GHG monitoring 1-3**Reporting question:** How were GHG impacts monitored in this field?**Description:** Up to the top three forms of monitoring GHG benefits as part of MMRV requirements. Monitoring is defined as ongoing review and confirmation that the climate-smart practice has been implemented according to the agreed upon standard and documentation of any changes in the site, implementation, or GHG emissions impacts over time. Include up to 3 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this field. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 GHG monitoring methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other GHG monitoring methods as free text.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Drones
- Ground-level photos and videos
- On-farm inspection
- Plot-based sampling (e.g., soil, water)
- Producer records or attestation
- Satellite monitoring or remote sensing
- Soil metagenomics
- Soil sensors
- Water sensors
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Field GHG reporting**Data element name:** Field GHG reporting 1-3**Reporting question:** How were GHG benefits reported for this field?

Description: Up to the top three forms of reporting on GHG benefits as part of MMRV requirements. Reporting is defined as documenting and sharing monitoring and measurement results with project partners, the recipient, and any third-party verification organization. Include up to 3 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this field. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 GHG reporting methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other GHG reporting methods as free text.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Automated devices
- Email
- Mobile app
- Paper
- Third-party actors
- Website
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Field GHG verification****Data element name:** Field GHG verification 1-3**Reporting question:** How was implementation of practices to reduce GHG emissions verified for this field?

Description: Up to the top three of verification of GHG benefits as part of MMRV requirements. Verification is defined as independent confirmation that measurement, monitoring and reporting information are complete, accurate and reliable. Include up to 3 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this field. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 GHG verification methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other GHG verification methods as free text.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Artificial intelligence
- Computer modeling
- Recipient audit
- Photos
- Record audit
- Satellite imagery
- Site or field visit
- Third-party audit
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Field GHG calculations**Data element name:** Field GHG calculations**Reporting question:** What methods are used to calculate GHG benefits in this field?**Description:** List the method(s) used to calculate GHG benefits in this field. If yes to direct physical measurements, submit result reports (see *Supplemental Data Submission – Field direct GHG measurement results*).**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Models
- Direct field measurements
- Both

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Field official GHG calculation****Data element name:** Field official GHG calculation**Reporting question:** What method was used to calculate the official GHG benefits in this field?**Description:** List the method used to calculate the official GHG benefits in this field that are reported as part of the project's aggregate impact.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Models
- Direct field measurements

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Field official GHG ER****Data element name:** Field official GHG emission reductions**Reporting question:** What are the estimated total GHG emission reductions (CO₂eq) in this field?**Description:** Estimated greenhouse gas emission reductions from practice implementation in this field that are reported as part of the project's aggregate impact. This data element must be entered upon practice completion or annually, as appropriate.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CO₂eq**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Field official carbon stock****Data element name:** Field official carbon stock**Reporting question:** How much carbon has been sequestered in this field?**Description:** Estimated total change in carbon stock based on practice implementation in this field. This data element can be reported in any quarter and is cumulative for the year. Conversion rate is one ton of carbon = 3.67 tons of CO₂eq.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CO₂eq**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Field official CO2 ER**Data element name:** Field official CO2 emission reductions**Reporting question:** What are the estimated total CO2 emission reductions in this field?**Description:** Estimated total carbon dioxide emission reductions based on practice implementation in this field that are reported as part of the project's aggregate impact. This data element must be entered upon practice completion or annually, as appropriate.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CO₂**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Field official CH4 ER****Data element name:** Field official CH4 emission reductions**Reporting question:** What are the estimated total CH4 emission reductions in this field?**Description:** Estimated total methane emission reductions based on practice implementation in this field that are reported as part of the project's aggregate impact. This data element must be entered upon practice completion or annually, as appropriate. Conversion rate is one ton of CH₄ = 25 tons of CO₂eq.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CH4 reduced in CO₂eq**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Field official N2O ER****Data element name:** Field official N2O emission reductions**Reporting question:** What are the estimated total N2O emission reductions in this field?**Description:** Estimated total nitrous oxide emission reductions based on practice implementation in this field that are reported as part of the project's aggregate impact. This data element must be entered upon practice completion or annually, as appropriate. Conversion rate is one ton of N₂O = 298 tons of CO₂eq.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Metric tons N2O reduced in CO₂eq**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Field offsets produced****Data element name:** Field offsets produced**Reporting question:** How many carbon offsets have been produced in this field?**Description:** Total carbon offsets produced in the field during the quarter (not cumulative). Offsets are defined as having been verified and certified using an accepted standard and sold into the carbon marketplace.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CO₂eq**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Field insets produced

Data element name: Field insets produced **Reporting question:** How many carbon insets have been produced in this field?

Description: Total carbon insets produced in the field during the quarter (not cumulative). Insets are defined as having been verified and certified using an accepted standard and accounted for within Scope 3 emissions for a firm.

Data type: Decimal

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Metric tons CO₂eq

Allowed values: 0-10,000,000

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Field

Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Other field measurement

Data element name: Other field measurement **Reporting question:** Were data collected from the field for reasons other than GHG benefit estimation?

Description: Direct physical measurements or data collection taken in the field for any reason other than GHG benefits estimation. These reasons could include calibration of GHG estimation tools or models, tracking other environmental benefits (see Field environmental benefits report), and other reasons. If yes, submit corresponding reports (see *Supplemental data submission - Field direct measurement results*).

Data type: List

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Allowed values:

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Field

Data collection frequency: Quarterly



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

GHG Benefits - Alternate Modeled**Unique IDs**

Farm ID	Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA
Tract ID	Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA
Field ID	Unique Field ID assigned by FSA
State or territory of field	State name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)
County of field	County name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)

Commodity type**Data element name:** Commodity type 1-6**Reporting question:** What type of commodity(ies) is produced from this field?

Description: Type of commodity(ies) produced in field enrolled in the project. See full list of commodity options in Appendix B. The worksheet provides multiple columns with drop-down lists of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. Leave unnecessary columns blank

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:** FSA commodity list**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** If project calculates GHG benefits using multiple methods**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Practice type****Data element name:** Practice type 1-7**Reporting question:** What CSAF practice is being implemented by this project?

Description: Which CSAF practice or practices are being implemented in this project? CSAF practices are included in a list in Appendix A. The worksheet provides seven columns for this data element. Enter one value for each column. If there are fewer than 7 practices being implemented by the project, leave unnecessary columns blank.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:** See list in Appendix A**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** If project calculates GHG benefits using multiple methods**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

GHG model**Data element name:** GHG model **Reporting question:** What model was used for alternate calculation of GHG benefits?**Description:** Select the model used for the alternate calculation of the field's GHG benefits.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- ACC Calculator
- Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use (AFOLU) Carbon Calculator
- AIRES
- APEX
- Bowen Ratio Energy Balance
- Carat-Calculator
- CArPE
- CDFA web-based calculator
- COMET-Farm
- COMET-Planner
- CoolFarm
- Cover Crop Explore
- CropTrak
- CultivateAI's FMIS
- DayCent-CR
- DNDC
- DSSAT
- Earth Optics
- EcoPractices
- EPIC
- Extrapolation based on literature
- FieldPrint
- Granular
- GREET
- gTIR
- IFSM
- IPCC default emissions factors & models
- itree
- Nitrogen Balance
- Nutrient Tracking Tool (NTT)
- RCD Project Tracker
- Revised Universal Soil Loss equation 2 (RUSLE2)
- RuFaS
- SAFE-Link
- SALUS (CIBO)
- SNAPGRAZE
- SquareRoots
- SWAT-C
- SYMFONI
- Truterra Sustainability Tool
- Verra
- WEPP
- YardStick
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** If project calculates GHG benefits using multiple methods**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Model start date**Data element name:** Model start date**Reporting question:** For what time period are the GHG benefits modeled (model start date)?**Description:** Date that the model parameters begin.**Data type:** Date**Select multiple values:** NA**Measurement unit:** MM/DD/YYYY**Allowed values:** 01/01/1950 – 12/31/2030**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** If project calculates GHG benefits using multiple methods**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Model end date****Data element name:** Model end date**Reporting question:** For what time period are the GHG benefits modeled (model end date)?**Description:** Date that the model parameters end.**Data type:** Date**Select multiple values:** NA**Measurement unit:** MM/DD/YYYY**Allowed values:** 01/01/2023– 12/31/2030**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** If project calculates GHG benefits using multiple methods**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Total GHG benefits estimated****Data element name:** Total GHG benefits estimated**Reporting question:** What is the alternate estimate of the field's total GHG emission reductions?**Description:** Total greenhouse gas emission reductions from practice implementation in the field estimated using an alternate model.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CO₂eq**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** If project calculates GHG benefits using multiple methods**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Total carbon stock estimated****Data element name:** Total carbon stock estimated**Reporting question:** What is the alternate estimate of how much carbon has the field has sequestered?**Description:** Total change in carbon stock based on practice implementation in the field estimated using an alternate model. Conversion rate is one ton of carbon = 3.67 tons of CO₂eq.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CO₂eq**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** If project calculates GHG benefits using multiple methods**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Total CO₂ estimated****Data element name:** Total CO₂ estimated**Reporting question:** What is the alternate estimate of the field's total CO₂ emission reductions?**Description:** Total carbon dioxide emission reductions based on practice implementation in the field estimated using an alternate model.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CO₂**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** If project calculates GHG benefits using multiple methods**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Total CH4 estimated**Data element name:** Total CH4 estimated**Reporting question:** What is the alternate estimate of the field's total CH4 emission reductions?**Description:** Total methane emission reductions based on practice implementation in the field estimated using an alternate model. Conversion rate is one ton of CH₄ = 25 tons of CO₂eq.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CH4 reduced in CO₂eq**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** If project calculates GHG benefits using multiple methods**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Total field N2O estimated****Data element name:** Total N2O estimated**Reporting question:** What is the alternate estimate of the field's total N2O emission reductions?**Description:** Total nitrous oxide emission reductions based on practice implementation in the field estimated using an alternate method. Conversion rate is one ton of N₂O = 298 tons of CO₂eq.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Metric tons N2O reduced in CO₂eq**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** If project calculates GHG benefits using multiple methods**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

GHG Benefits - Measured**Unique IDs**

Farm ID	Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA
Tract ID	Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA
Field ID	Unique Field ID assigned by FSA
State or territory of field	State name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)
County of field	County name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)

GHG measurement method**Data element name:** GHG measurement method**Reporting question:** What measurement method is used to calculate GHG benefits?**Description:** Field-based measurement method used to calculate GHG benefits. If “other” is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Emissions measurement unit
- Flux towers
- Litterbags
- Plant measurements
- Portable emissions analyzers
- Soil flux chambers
- Soil samples
- Soil sensors
- Vehicle-mounted sensors
- Other (specify)

Required: If a project conducts soil samples or takes carbon stock or greenhouse gas emission measurements in this field**Logic:** None – all respond**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Lab name****Data element name:** Lab name**Reporting question:** What is the name of the lab that processed the measurement samples?**Description:** Name of entity that received data and conducted analysis of samples.**Data type:** Text**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** NA**Allowed values:** Free text**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** If applicable**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Measurement start date**Data element name:** Measurement start date**Reporting question:** On what date did the measurement start?**Description:** Date that the measurements began. If it was a single point in time, use the same date for start date and end date. If multiple measurements took place over a time period, use the date that the measurements first began.**Data type:** Date**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** MM/DD/YYYY**Allowed values:** 01/01/2023 – 12/31/2030**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** If a project conducts soil samples or takes carbon stock or greenhouse gas emission measurements in this field**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Measurement end date****Data element name:** Measurement end date**Reporting question:** On what date did the measurement end?**Description:** Date that the measurements began. If it was a single point in time, use the same date for start date and end date. If multiple measurements took place over a time period, use the date that the measurements were completed.**Data type:** Date**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** MM/DD/YYYY**Allowed values:** 01/01/2023– 12/31/2030**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** If a project conducts soil samples or takes carbon stock or greenhouse gas emission measurements in this field**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Total CO2 reduction calculated****Data element name:** Total CO2 reduction calculated**Reporting question:** What are the total measured CO2 emission reductions?**Description:** Total annual CO2 emission reductions based on practice implementation in the field calculated from in-field measurements.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CO₂**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** If a project takes carbon stock or greenhouse gas emission measurements in this field**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Total field carbon stock measured****Data element name:** Total field carbon stock measured**Reporting question:** What is the total amount of carbon sequestered based on repeat measurements in this field?**Description:** Change in carbon stock based on practice implementation in the field calculated from repeat soil sampling in this field. (Results for initial field soil samples should be reported in the 'Soil sample result' and 'Measurement type' columns.) Conversion rate is one ton of carbon = 3.67 tons of CO₂eq.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CO₂eq**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** If a project conducts soil samples or takes carbon stock measurements in this field**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Total CH4 reduction calculated**Data element name:** Total CH4 reduction calculated**Reporting question:** What are the total measured CH4 emission reductions?**Description:** Total annual methane emission reductions based on practice implementation in the field calculated from in-field measurements. Conversion rate is one ton of CH₄ = 25 tons of CO₂eq.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CH4 reduced in CO₂eq**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** If a project conducts soil samples or takes carbon stock or greenhouse gas emission measurements in this field**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Total N2O reduction calculated****Data element name:** Total N2O reduction calculated**Reporting question:** What are the total measured N2O emission reductions?**Description:** Total annual nitrous oxide emission reductions based on practice implementation in the field calculated from in-field measurements. Conversion rate is one ton of N₂O = 298 tons of CO₂eq.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Metric tons N2O reduced in CO₂eq**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** If a project conducts soil samples or takes carbon stock or greenhouse gas emission measurements in this field**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Soil sample result****Data element name:** Soil sample result**Reporting question:** What is the numeric result from this soil sample?**Description:** Results of measurement(s) taken to determine the carbon stock of a soil (the tons of carbon found in a specified volume of soil).**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Amount**Allowed values:** .00001-100,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** If a project conducts soil samples in this field**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Soil sample result unit

Data element name: Soil sample result unit **Reporting question:** What is unit for the soil sample result?**Description:** Unit for the corresponding soil sample result. The worksheet provides a drop-down list of choices for this data element. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter the appropriate yield unit as free text.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Percent
- Ppm
- Grams
- Grams per cubic centimeter
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** If a project conducts soil samples in this field**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual

Measurement type

Data element name: Measurement type**Reporting question:** What type of analysis was conducted for this soil sample?**Description:** Type of soil analysis conducted. The worksheet provides a drop-down list of choices for this data element. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter the appropriate yield unit as free text.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Organic matter
- Total organic carbon
- Bulk density
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** If a project conducts soil samples in this field**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Additional Environmental Benefits**Unique IDs**

Farm ID	Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA
Tract ID	Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA
Field ID	Unique Field ID assigned by FSA
State or territory of field	State name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)
County of field	County name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)

Environmental benefits

Data element name: Environmental benefits

Reporting question: Are environmental benefits other than GHGs being tracked in the field?

Description: Tracking of environmental benefits other than greenhouse gas emission reductions and carbon sequestration in the enrolled field. Tracking means at a minimum using some form of monitoring and reporting that can quantify benefits.

Data type: List

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Allowed values:

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Field

Data collection frequency: Annual

Reduction in nitrogen loss

Data element name: Reduction in nitrogen loss

Reporting question: Are reductions in nitrogen losses being tracked in the field?

Description: Tracking reductions in nitrogen losses in the enrolled field. Tracking means at a minimum using some form of monitoring and reporting that can quantify benefits.

Data type: List

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Allowed values:

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Logic: Respond if yes to 'Environmental benefits'

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Field

Data collection frequency: Annual

Reduction in nitrogen loss amount

Data element

name: Reduction in nitrogen loss amount

Reporting question: How much reduction in nitrogen losses have been measured in the field?

Description: Total amount of reduction in nitrogen losses that is measured and reported in the enrolled field.

Data type: Decimal

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Amount

Allowed values: 0-1,000,000

Logic: Respond if yes to 'Reduction in nitrogen loss'

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Field

Data collection frequency: Annual



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Reduction in nitrogen loss amount unit**Data element name:** Reduction in nitrogen loss amount unit**Description:** Unit for the total amount of reduction in nitrogen losses that is measured and reported in the enrolled field. If "other" is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Reporting question:** What is the unit for how much reduction in nitrogen losses have been measured in the field?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Kilograms
- Metric tons
- Pounds
- Other (specify)

Required: Yes**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Reduction in nitrogen loss'**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Reduction in nitrogen loss purpose****Data element name:** Reduction in nitrogen loss purpose**Description:** Purpose of tracking reduction in nitrogen losses in the enrolled field. If "other" is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Reporting question:** What is the purpose of tracking reduction in nitrogen losses?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Commodity marketing
- Producing insets
- Producing offsets
- I don't know
- Other (specify)

Required: Yes**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Reduction in nitrogen loss'**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Reduction in phosphorus loss****Data element name:** Reduction in phosphorus loss**Description:** Tracking of reductions in phosphorus losses in the enrolled field. Tracking means at a minimum using some form of monitoring and reporting that can quantify benefits.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Reporting question:** Are reductions in phosphorus losses being tracked in the field?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Required: Yes**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Environmental benefits'**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Reduction in phosphorus loss amount****Data element name:** Reduction in phosphorus loss amount**Description:** Total amount of reduction in phosphorus losses that is measured in the field.**Data type:** Decimal**Measurement unit:** Amount**Reporting question:** How much reduction in phosphorus losses have been measured in the field?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:** 0-1,000,000**Required:** Yes**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Reduction in phosphorus loss'**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Reduction in phosphorus loss amount unit**Data element name:** Reduction in phosphorus loss amount unit**Description:** Unit for the total amount of reduction in phosphorus losses that is measured in the enrolled field. If "other" is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Reporting question:** What is the unit for the reduction in phosphorus losses measured in the field?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Kilograms
- Metric tons
- Pounds
- Other (specify)

Required: Yes**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Reduction in phosphorus loss'**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Reduction in phosphorus loss purpose****Data element name:** Reduction in phosphorus loss purpose**Description:** Purpose of tracking reduction in phosphorus losses in the enrolled field. If "other" is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Reporting question:** What is the purpose of tracking reductions in phosphorus losses?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Commodity marketing
- Producing insets
- Producing offsets
- I don't know
- Other (specify)

Required: Yes**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Reduction in phosphorus loss'**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Other water quality****Data element name:** Other water quality**Reporting question:** Are other water quality metrics being tracked in the field?**Description:** Project tracking of other water quality metrics in the enrolled field. Tracking means at a minimum using some form of monitoring and reporting that can quantify benefits.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Required: Yes**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Environmental benefits'**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Other water quality type**Data element name:** Other water quality type**Description:** Type of other water quality metric (besides nitrogen loss and phosphorus loss reductions) that is measured in the field. If "other" is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Other water quality'**Data collection level:** Field**Reporting question:** What type of other water quality metric have been measured in the field?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Sediment load reduction
- Temperature
- Other (specify)

Required: Yes**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Other water quality amount****Data element name:** Other water quality amount**Description:** Total amount of reduction in other water quality metrics that is measured in the enrolled field.**Data type:** Decimal**Measurement unit:** Amount**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Other water quality'**Data collection level:** Field**Reporting question:** How much reduction in other water quality metrics have been measured in the field?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:** 0-1,000,000**Required:** Yes**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Other water quality amount unit****Data element name:** Other water quality amount unit**Description:** Unit for the total amount of reduction in other water quality metrics that is measured in the enrolled field. If "other" is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Other water quality'**Data collection level:** Field**Reporting question:** What is the unit for the reduction in other water quality metrics measured in the field?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Degrees F
- Kilograms
- Kilograms per liter
- Metric tons
- Pounds
- Other (specify)

Required: Yes**Data collection frequency:** Annual



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Other water quality purpose**Data element name:** Other water quality purpose**Description:** Purpose of tracking other water quality benefits in the enrolled field. If "other" is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Reporting question:** What is the purpose of tracking other water quality benefits?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Commodity marketing
- Producing insets
- Producing offsets
- I don't know
- Other (specify)

Required: Yes**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Other water quality'**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Water quantity****Data element name:** Water quantity**Reporting question:** Is water conservation being tracked in the field?**Description:** Tracking of water conservation or reduction in use in the enrolled field. Tracking means at a minimum using some form of monitoring and reporting that can quantify benefits.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Required: Yes**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Environmental benefits'**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Water quantity amount****Data element name:** Water quantity amount**Reporting question:** How much water conservation has been measured in the field?**Description:** Total amount of water conservation or reduction that is measured in the field.**Data type:** Decimal**Measurement unit:** Amount**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:** 0-1,000,000**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Water quantity'**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Water quantity amount unit****Data element name:** Water quantity amount unit**Reporting question:** What is the unit for the amount of water conservation measured in the field?**Description:** Unit for the total amount of water conservation or reduced use that is measured and reported in the enrolled field. If "other" is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Acre-feet
- Cubic feet
- Other (specify)

Required: Yes**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Water quantity'**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Water quantity purpose**Data element name:** Water quantity purpose**Description:** Purpose of tracking water conservation or reductions in water use in the enrolled field. If "other" is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Reporting question:** What is the purpose of tracking water conservation?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Commodity marketing
- Producing insets
- Producing offsets
- I don't know
- Other (specify)

Logic: Respond if yes to 'Water quantity'**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Reduced erosion****Data element name:** Reduced erosion**Reporting question:** Is reduced soil erosion being tracked in the field?**Description:** Tracking of reduced soil erosion in the enrolled field. Tracking means at a minimum using some form of monitoring and reporting that can quantify benefits.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Logic: Respond if yes to 'Environmental benefits'**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Reduced erosion amount****Data element name:** Reduced erosion amount**Reporting question:** How much erosion reduction has been measured in the field?**Description:** Total amount of erosion reduction that is measured in the enrolled field.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Amount**Allowed values:** 0-1,000,000**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Reduced erosion'**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Reduced erosion amount unit****Data element name:** Reduced erosion unit**Reporting question:** What is the unit for the amount of erosion reduction measured?**Description:** Unit for the total amount of erosion reduction from enrolled fields that is measured and reported by the project. If "other" is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Tons
- Other (specify)

Logic: Respond if yes to 'Reduced erosion'**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Reduced erosion purpose**Data element name:** Reduced erosion purpose**Description:** Purpose of tracking reduced erosion the enrolled field. If "other" is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Reporting question:** What is the purpose of tracking reduced erosion in the field?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Commodity marketing
- Producing insets
- Producing offsets
- I don't know
- Other (specify)

Logic: Respond if yes to 'Reduced erosion'**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Reduced energy use****Data element name:** Reduced energy use**Reporting question:** Is reduced energy use being tracked in the field?**Description:** Tracking of reduced energy use in the enrolled field. Tracking means at a minimum using some form of monitoring and reporting that can quantify benefits.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Logic: Respond if yes to 'Environmental benefits'**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Reduced energy use amount****Data element name:** Reduced energy use amount**Reporting question:** How much energy use reduction has been measured in the field?**Description:** Total amount of energy use reduction that is measured in the enrolled field.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Amount**Allowed values:** 0-1,000,000**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Reduced energy use'**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Reduced energy use amount unit****Data element name:** Reduced energy use unit**Reporting question:** What is the unit for the energy use reduction measured in the field?**Description:** Unit for the total amount of energy use reduction that is measured in the enrolled field. If "other" is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Kilowatt hours
- Other (specify)

Logic: Respond if yes to 'Reduced energy use'**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Reduced energy use purpose**Data element name:** Reduced energy use purpose**Description:** Purpose of tracking reduced energy use in the enrolled field. If "other" is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Reduced energy use'**Data collection level:** Field**Reporting question:** What is the purpose of tracking reduced energy use in the field?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Commodity marketing
- Producing insets
- Producing offsets
- I don't know
- Other (specify)

Required: Yes**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Avoided land conversion****Data element name:** Avoided land conversion**Description:** Tracking of avoided land conversion in the enrolled field. Tracking means at a minimum using some form of monitoring and reporting that can quantify benefits. Land conservation means land use changing from agricultural uses to non-agricultural uses.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Environmental benefits'**Data collection level:** Field**Reporting question:** Is avoided land conversion being tracked in the field?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Required: Yes**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Avoided land conversion amount****Data element name:** Avoided land conversion amount**Description:** Total amount of avoided land conversion that is measured in the enrolled field.**Data type:** Decimal**Measurement unit:** Amount**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Avoided land conversion'**Data collection level:** Field**Reporting question:** How much avoided land conversion has been measured in the field?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:** 0-1,000,000**Required:** Yes**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Avoided land conversion amount unit****Data element name:** Avoided land conversion unit**Description:** Unit for the total amount of avoided land conversion that is measured in the enrolled field. If "other" is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Avoided land conversion'**Data collection level:** Field**Reporting question:** What is the unit for the amount of avoided land conversion measured in the field?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Acres
- Other (specify)

Required: Yes**Data collection frequency:** Annual



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Avoided land conversion purpose**Data element name:** Avoided land conversion purpose**Description:** Purpose of tracking avoided land conversion in the enrolled field. If "other" is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Reporting question:** What is the purpose of tracking avoided land conversion in the field?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Commodity marketing
- Producing insets
- Producing offsets
- I don't know
- Other (specify)

Required: Yes**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Avoided land conversion'**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Improved wildlife habitat****Data element name:** Improved wildlife habitat**Description:** Tracking of improvements to wildlife in and around the enrolled field. Tracking means at a minimum using some form of monitoring and reporting that can quantify benefits.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Reporting question:** Are improvements to wildlife habitat being tracked in the field?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Required: Yes**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Environmental benefits'**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Improved wildlife habitat amount****Data element name:** Improved wildlife habitat amount**Description:** Total amount of improved wildlife habitat that is measured in and around the enrolled fields.**Data type:** Decimal**Measurement unit:** Amount**Reporting question:** How much improved wildlife habitat has been measured in the field?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:** 0-1,000,000**Required:** Yes**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Improved wildlife habitat'**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Improved wildlife habitat amount unit****Data element name:** Improved wildlife habitat unit**Description:** Unit for the total amount of improved wildlife habitat that is measured in and around enrolled fields. If "other" is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Reporting question:** What is the unit for the amount of improved wildlife habitat measured in the field?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Acres
- Linear feet
- Other (specify)

Required: Yes**Logic:** Respond if yes to 'Improved wildlife habitat'**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Improved wildlife habitat purpose**Data element name:** Improved wildlife habitat purpose**Description:** Purpose of tracking improved wildlife habitat in the enrolled field. If "other" is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Reporting question:** What is the purpose of tracking improved wildlife habitat in the field?**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Commodity marketing
- Producing insets
- Producing offsets
- I don't know
- Other (specify)

Logic: Respond if yes to 'Improved wildlife habitat'**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

CSAF Practice Sub-questions

For some CSAF practices, there is an additional set of questions that are unique to each practice. Responses to these questions are needed to verify estimated GHG benefits of these practices. If a field is implementing a CSAF practice with an NRCS CPS code in Table 11, answer the follow-up questions listed next to the relevant practice name in the table. Use the *Supplemental Reporting Workbook – CSAF Practice Sub-questions* to report the required information.

Table 11. Follow-on questions for select CSAF practices

Practice name and code	Follow-up question	Options (select one)
Alley Cropping (CPS 311)	Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)	Coniferous trees Deciduous trees Shrubs
	Species density (number of trees planted per acre)	1-10,000
Anaerobic Digester (CPS 366)	Waste storage system prior to installing anaerobic digester	Aerobic lagoon Anaerobic digester (complex mix) with energy generation Anaerobic digester (plug flow) with energy generation Anaerobic lagoon Composting Covered lagoon (no energy generation or flaring) Covered lagoon with energy generation Covered lagoon with flaring Daily spread Deep bedding pack Deep pit Dry lot Dry stacking/solid storage Pasture/range/paddock Poultry with bedding Poultry without bedding (e.g., high rise) Slurry tank/basin
		Covered lagoon with energy generation Covered lagoon with flaring Covered lagoon (no energy generation or flaring) Complex mix with energy generation Plug flow with energy generation Other (specify)
		Food waste Straw or bedding Wastewater Other (specify)



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Combustion System Improvement (CPS 372)	Fuel type before installation	Coal
		Diesel
	Fuel amount before installation	Electricity
		Gasoline
		Kerosene
		Liquified petroleum gas (LPG)
		Natural gas
		Propane
		Wood
		Other (specify)
	Fuel amount unit before installation	0-1,000,000
Conservation Cover (CPS 327)	Fuel type after installation	Cubic feet (natural gas)
		Gallons (diesel, gasoline, propane, LPG, kerosene)
	Fuel amount unit after installation	Kilowatt-hours (electricity)
		Pounds (wood, coal)
		Other (specify)
		Coal
		Diesel
		Electricity
		Gasoline
		Kerosene
		Liquified petroleum gas (LPG)
		Natural gas
	Fuel type after installation	Propane
		Wood
	Fuel amount unit after installation	Other (specify)
		0-1,000,000
		Cubic feet (natural gas)
		Gallons (diesel, gasoline, propane, LPG, kerosene)
		Kilowatt-hours (electricity)
		Pounds (wood, coal)
		Other (specify)
		Brassicas
	Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)	Grasses
		Legumes
		Non-legume broadleaves
		Shrubs



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Conservation Crop Rotation (CPS 328)	Conservation crop type	Brassica Broadleaf Cool season Grass Legume Warm season
	Change implemented	Added perennial crop Reduced fallow period Both
	Conservation crop rotation tillage type	Conventional (plow, chisel, disk) No-till, direct seed Reduced till Strip till None Other (specify)
	Total conservation crop rotation length in days	1-120
Contour Buffer Strips (CPS 332)	Strip width (feet)	1-100
	Species category	Grasses Forbs Mix
Cover Crop (CPS 340)	Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)	Brassicas Forbs Grasses Legume Non-legume broadleaves
	Cover crop planned management	Grazing Haying Termination
	Cover crop termination method	Burning Herbicide application Incorporation Mowing Rolling/crimping Winter kill/frost
Critical Area Planting (CPS 342)	Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)	Grass Grass legume/forb mix Herbaceous woody mix Perennial or reseeding Shrubs Trees
Feed Management (CPS 592)	Crude protein (percent)	0-100
	Fat (percent)	0-100
	Feed additives/supplements	Chemical Edible oils/fats Seaweed/kelp Other (specify)
Field Border (CPS 386)	Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)	Forbs Grasses Mix Shrubs



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

	Strip width (feet)	20-1,000
Filter Strip (CPS 393)	Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)	Forbs Grasses Mix Shrubs
Forest Farming (CPS 379)	Land use in previous year	Forest Multi-story cropping Pasture/grazing land Row crops Other agroforestry
Forest Stand Improvement (CPS 666)	Purpose for implementation	Maintain or improve forest carbon stocks Maintain or improve forest health and productivity Maintain or improve forest structure and composition Maintain or improve wildlife, fish, and pollinator habitat Manage natural precipitation more efficiently Reduce forest pest pressure Reduce forest wildfire hazard
Grassed Waterway (CPS 412)	Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)	Flowering Plants Forbs Grasses
Hedgerow Planting (CPS 422)	Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)	Grasses Shrubs Trees
	Species density (number of trees planted per acre)	1-10,000
Herbaceous Wind Barriers (CPS 603)	Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)	Forbs Grasses Mix Shrubs
	Barrier width (feet)	1-1,000
	Number of rows	1-100
Mulching (CPS 484)	Mulch type	Gravel Natural Synthetic Wood
	Mulch cover (percent of field)	0-100



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Nutrient management (CPS 590)	Nutrient type with CPS 590	Biosolids Commercial fertilizers Compost EEf (nitrification inhibitor) EEf (slow or controlled release) EEf (urease inhibitor) Green manure Liquid animal manure Organic by-products Organic residues or materials Solid/semi-solid animal manure Wastewater
	Nutrient application method with CPS 590	Banded Broadcast Injection Irrigation Surface application Surface application with tillage Variable rate
	Nutrient application method in the previous year	Banded Broadcast Injection Irrigation Surface application Surface application with tillage Variable rate
	Nutrient application timing with CPS 590	Single pre-planting Single post-planting Split pre- and post-planting Split post-planting
	Nutrient application timing in the previous year	Single pre-planting Single post-planting Split pre- and post-planting Split post-planting
	Nutrient application rate with CPS 590	0-20,000
	Nutrient application rate unit with CPS 590	Gallons per acre Pounds per acre
	Nutrient application rate change	Decrease compared to previous year Increase compared to previous year No change
	Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)	Cool-season broadleaf Cool-season grass Warm-season broadleaf Warm-season grass
	Termination process	Grazing Haying (i.e., cutting and baling) Other (specify)
Prescribed Grazing (CPS 528)	Grazing type	Cell grazing Deferred rotational Management intensive Rest-rotation



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Range Planting (CPS 550)	Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)	Forbs Grasses Legumes Shrubs Trees
Residue and Tillage Management – No-till (CPS 329)	Surface disturbance	None Seed row only
Residue and Tillage Management – Reduced Till (CPS 345)	Surface disturbance	None Seed row/ridge tillage for planting Shallow across most of the soil surface Vertical/mulch
Riparian Forest Buffer (CPS 391)	Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)	Coniferous trees Deciduous trees Shrubs
	Species density (number of trees planted per acre)	1-10,000
Riparian Herbaceous Cover (CPS 390)	Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)	Ferns Forbs Grasses Legumes Rushes Sedges
Roofs and Covers (CPS 367)	Roof/cover type	Concrete Flexible geomembrane Metal Timber Other (specify)
Silvopasture (CPS 381)	Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)	Coniferous trees Deciduous trees Forage Shrubs
	Species density (number of trees planted per acre)	1-10,000
	Strip width (feet)	1-1,000
Stripcropping (CPS 585)	Crop category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)	Erosion resistant crops Fallow Sediment trapping crops
	Number of strips	2-100
Tree/Shrub Establishment (CPS 612)	Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)	Coniferous trees Deciduous trees Shrubs
	Species density (number of trees planted per acre)	1-10,000
Vegetative Barrier (CPS 601)	Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)	Grasses Grass forb mix Grass legume mix
	Barrier width (feet)	3-1,000

Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients
 February 2023

Waste Separation Facility (CPS 632)	Separation type	Chemical (e.g., salts, polymers) Mechanical (e.g., screens, presses) Settling basin
	Most common use of solids	Bedding Field applied Other (specify)
Waste Storage Facility (CPS 313)	Waste storage system prior to installing your waste storage facility	Aerobic lagoon Anaerobic digester (complex mix) with energy generation Anaerobic digester (plug flow) with energy generation Anaerobic lagoon Composting Covered lagoon (no energy generation or flaring) Covered lagoon with energy generation Covered lagoon with flaring Daily spread Deep bedding pack Deep pit Dry lot Dry stacking/solid storage Pasture/range/paddock Poultry with bedding Poultry without bedding (e.g., high rise) Slurry tank/basin
Waste Treatment (CPS 629)	Treatment type	Biological Chemical Mechanical
Waste Treatment Lagoon (CPS 359)	Waste storage system prior to installing waste treatment lagoon	Aerobic lagoon Anaerobic digester (complex mix) with energy generation Anaerobic digester (plug flow) with energy generation Anaerobic lagoon Composting Covered lagoon (no energy generation or flaring) Covered lagoon with energy generation Covered lagoon with flaring Daily spread Deep bedding pack Deep pit Dry lot Dry stacking/solid storage Pasture/Range/Paddock Poultry with bedding Poultry without bedding (e.g., high rise) Slurry tank/basin
	Is there a lagoon cover/crust?	Yes No
	Is there lagoon aeration?	Yes No



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation (CPS 380)	Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)	Coniferous trees Deciduous trees Shrubs
	Species density (number of trees planted per acre)	1-10,000



Appendix A: Climate-smart Agriculture and Forestry Practices

All NRCS Practice Standards (not limited to climate-smart practices)

309, Agrichemical Handling Facility	390, Riparian Herbaceous Cover
311, Alley Cropping	391, Riparian Forest Buffer
313, Waste Storage Facility	393, Filter Strip
314, Brush Management	394, Firebreak
315, Herbaceous Weed Treatment	395, Stream Habitat Improvement and Management
316, Animal Mortality Facility	396, Aquatic Organism Passage
317, Composting Facility	397, Aquaculture Pond
318, Short Term Storage of Animal Waste and By-Products	398, Fish Raceway or Tank
319, On-Farm Secondary Containment Facility	399, Fishpond Management
320, Irrigation Canal or Lateral	400, Bivalve Aquaculture Gear and Biofouling Control
324, Deep Tillage	402, Dam
325, High Tunnel System	410, Grade Stabilization Structure
326, Clearing and Snagging	412, Grassed Waterway
327, Conservation Cover	420, Wildlife Habitat Planting
328, Conservation Crop Rotation	422, Hedgerow Planting
329, Residue and Tillage Management, No Till	423, Hillside Ditch
330, Contour Farming	428, Irrigation Ditch Lining
331, Contour Orchard and Other Perennial Crops	428A, Irrigation Water Conveyance, Ditch and Canal Lining, Plain Concrete
332, Contour Buffer Strips	428B, Irrigation Water Conveyance, Ditch and Canal Lining, Flexible Membrane
333, Amending Soil Properties with Gypsum Products	428C, Irrigation Water Conveyance, Ditch and Canal Lining, Galvanized Steel
334, Controlled Traffic Farming	430, Irrigation Pipeline
336, Soil Carbon Amendment	432, Dry Hydrant
338, Prescribed Burning	436, Irrigation Reservoir
340, Cover Crop	441, Irrigation System, Microirrigation
342, Critical Area Planting	442, Sprinkler System
345, Residue and Tillage Management, Reduced Till	443, Irrigation System, Surface and Subsurface
348, Dam, Diversion	447, Irrigation and Drainage Tailwater Recovery
350, Sediment Basin	449, Irrigation Water Management
351, Well Decommissioning	450, Anionic Polyacrylamide (PAM) Application
353, Monitoring Well	453, Land Reclamation, Landslide Treatment
355, Groundwater Testing	455, Land Reclamation, Toxic Discharge Control
356, Dike and Levee	457, Mine Shaft and Adit Closing
359, Waste Treatment Lagoon	460, Land Clearing
360, Waste Facility Closure	462, Precision Land Forming and Smoothing
362, Diversion	464, Irrigation Land Leveling
366, Anaerobic Digester	466, Land Smoothing
367, Roofs and Covers	468, Lined Waterway or Outlet
368, Emergency Animal Mortality Management	472, Access Control
371, Air Filtration and Scrubbing	484, Mulching
372, Combustion System Improvement	490, Tree/Shrub Site Preparation
373, Dust Control on Unpaved Roads and Surfaces	500, Obstruction Removal
374, Energy Efficient Agricultural Operation	511, Forage Harvest Management
375, Dust Management for Pen Surfaces	512, Pasture and Hay Planting
376, Field Operations Emissions Reduction	516, Livestock Pipeline
378, Pond	520, Pond Sealing or Lining, Compacted Soil Treatment
379, Forest Farming	521, Pond Sealing or Lining, Geomembrane or Geosynthetic Clay Liner
380, Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation	521A, Pond Sealing or Lining, Flexible Membrane
381, Silvopasture	521B, Pond Sealing or Lining, Soil Dispersant
382, Fence	521C, Pond Sealing or Lining, Bentonite Sealant
383, Fuel Break	
384, Woody Residue Treatment	
386, Field Border	
388, Irrigation Field Ditch	



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

521D, Pond Sealing or Lining, Compacted Clay Treatment	632, Waste Separation Facility
522, Pond Sealing or Lining - Concrete	633, Waste Recycling
527, Sinkhole Treatment	634, Waste Transfer
528, Prescribed Grazing	635, Vegetated Treatment Area
533, Pumping Plant	636, Water Harvesting Catchment
543, Land Reclamation, Abandoned Mined Land	638, Water and Sediment Control Basin
544, Land Reclamation, Currently Mined Land	640, Waterspreading
548, Grazing Land Mechanical Treatment	642, Water Well
550, Range Planting	643, Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities
554, Drainage Water Management	644, Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management
555, Rock Wall Terrace	645, Upland Wildlife Habitat Management
557, Row Arrangement	646, Shallow Water Development and Management
558, Roof Runoff Structure	647, Early Successional Habitat Development-Mgt
560, Access Road	649, Structures for Wildlife
561, Heavy Use Area Protection	650, Windbreak/Shelterbelt Renovation
562, Recreation Area Improvement	654, Road/Trail/Landing Closure and Treatment
566, Recreation Land Improvement and Protection	655, Forest Trails and Landings
570, Stormwater Runoff Control	656, Constructed Wetland
572, Spoil Disposal	657, Wetland Restoration
574, Spring Development	658, Wetland Creation
575, Trails and Walkways	659, Wetland Enhancement
576, Livestock Shelter Structure	660, Tree-Shrub Pruning
578, Stream Crossing	666, Forest Stand Improvement
580, Streambank and Shoreline Protection	670, Energy Efficient Lighting System
582, Open Channel	672, Energy Efficient Building Envelope
584, Channel Bed Stabilization	736, Crop By-Product Transfer, interim
585, Stripcropping	724, Water Treatment Facility, interim
587, Structure for Water Control	735, Waste Gasification Facility, interim
588, Crosswind Ridges	737, Reduced Water and Energy Coffee Conveyance System, interim
589, Cross Wind Trap Strips	740, Pond Sealing and Lining, Soil Cement, interim
590, Nutrient Management	751, Individual Terrace, interim
591, Amendments for Treatment of Agricultural Waste	753, Infiltration Ditch, interim
592, Feed Management	755, Well Plugging, interim
595, Pest Management Conservation System	770, Livestock Confinement Facility, interim
600, Terrace	775, Drainage Ditch Covering, interim
601, Vegetative Barrier	782, Phosphorus Removal System, interim
602, Equitable Relief	800, Controlling Existing Flowing Wells, interim
603, Herbaceous Wind Barriers	803, Water Well Disinfection, interim
604, Saturated Buffer	805, Amending Soil Properties with Lime, interim
605, Denitrifying Bioreactor	808, Soil Carbon Amendment, interim
606, Subsurface Drain	809, Conservation Harvest Management, interim
607, Surface Drain, Field Ditch	810, Annual Forages for Grazing Systems, interim
608, Surface Drain, Main or Lateral	812, Raised Beds, interim
609, Surface Roughening	815, Groundwater Recharge Basin or Trench, interim
610, Salinity and Sodic Soil Management	817, On-Farm Recharge, interim
612, Tree/Shrub Establishment	818, Water Conservation System, interim
614, Watering Facility	821, Low Tunnel Systems, interim
620, Underground Outlet	823, Organic Management, interim
629, Waste Treatment	
630, Vertical Drain	

Other CSAF Practices

Traditional or cultural practices

Microbial products

Solar power generation

Grain bin construction

Pre-season drainage



Appendix B: Commodity List

CROPS

ALFALFA
ALMONDS
AMARANTH GRAIN
APPLES
APRICOTS
ARONIA (CHOKEBERRY)
ARTICHOKES
ASPARAGUS
ATEMOYA
AVOCADOS
BAMBOO SHOOTS
BANANAS
BARLEY
BEANS
BEETS
BIRDSFOOT/TREFOIL
BLUEBERRIES
BREADFRUIT
BROCCOFLOWER
BROCCOLI
BROCCOLINI
BRUSSEL SPROUTS
BUCKWHEAT
CABBAGE
CACAO
CACTUS
CAIMITO
CALABAZA MELON
CALALOO
CAMELINA
CANARY MELON
CANARY SEED
CANEERRIES
CANISTEL
CANOLA
CANTALOUPE
CARAMBOLA (STAR FRUIT)
CARROTS
CASHEW
CASSAVA
CAULIFLOWER
CELERIAC
CELERY
CHERIMOYA
CHERRIES
CHESTNUTS
CHICORY/RADICCHIO
CHINESE BITTER MELON
CHRISTMAS TREES
CHUFAS

CINNAMON
CLOVER
COCONUTS
COFFEE
CORN
COTTON ELS
COTTON UPLAND
CRANBERRIES
CRENSHAW MELON
CRUSTACEAN
CUCUMBERS
CURRANTS
DASHEEN
DATES
DURIAN
EGGPLANT
EINKORN
ELDERBERRIES
EMMER
FIGS
FINFISH
FLAX
FLOWERS
FORAGE SOYBEAN/SORGHUM
GAILON
GARLIC
GENIP
GINGER
GINSENG
GOOSEBERRIES
GOURDS
GRAPEFRUIT
GRAPES
GRASS
GREENS
GROUND CHERRY
GUAMABANA/SOURSOP
GUAR
GUAVA
GUAVABERRY
GUAYULE
HAZEL NUTS
HEMP
HERBS
HESPERALOE
HONEY
HONEYBERRIES
HONEYDEW
HOPS
HORSERADISH
HUCKLEBERRIES

HYBRID POPLAR TREES
IDLE
INDIGO
ISRAEL MELONS
JACK FRUIT
JERUSALEM ARTICHOKES
JICAMA
JOJOBA
JUJUBE
JUNEERRIES
KENAF
KHORASAN
KIWIBERRY
KIWIFRUIT
KOCHIA (PROSTRATA)
KOHLRABI
KOREAN GOLDEN MELON
KUMQUATS
LAMBS EAR
LEEKS
LEMONS
LENTILS
LESPEDEZA
LETTUCE
LIMES
LONGAN
LOQUATS
LYCHEE
MANGOS
MANGOSTEEN
MAPLE SAP
MAYHAW BERRIES
MEADOWFOAM
MILKWEED
MILLET
MIXED FORAGE
MOHAIR
MOLLUSK
MORINGA
MULBERRIES
MUSHROOMS
MUSTARD
NECTARINES
NIGER SEED
NONI
OATS
OKRA
OLIVES
ONIONS
ORANGES
PAPAYA



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients

February 2023

PARSNIP	STRAWBERRIES	
PASSION FRUITS	SUGAR BEETS	
PAWPAW	SUGARCANE	<u>LIVESTOCK</u>
PEACHES	SUNFLOWERS	ALPACAS
PEANUTS	SUNN HEMP	BEEF COWS
PEARS	TANGELOS	BEEFALO
PEAS	TANGERINES	BUFFALO OR BISON
PECANS	TANGORS	CHICKENS (BROILERS)
PENNYCRESS	TANGOS	CHICKENS (LAYERS)
PEPPERS	TANNIER	DAIRY COWS
PERENNIAL PEANUTS	TARO	DEER
PERIQUE TOBACCO	TEA	DUCKS
PERSIMMONS	TEFF	ELK
PINE NUTS	TI	EMUS
PINEAPPLE	TOBACCO CIGAR WRAPPER	EQUINE
PISTACHIOS	TOBACCO BURLEY	GEESE
PITAYA/DAGONFRUIT	TOBACCO BURLEY 31V	GOATS
PLANTAIN	TOBACCO CIGAR BINDER	HONEYBEES
PLUMCOTS	TOBACCO CIGAR FILLER	LLAMAS
PLUMS	TOBACCO CIGAR FILLER BINDER	REINDEER
POMEGRANATES	TOBACCO DARK AIR CURED	SHEEP
POTATOES	TOBACCO FIRE CURED	SWINE
POTATOES SWEET	TOBACCO FLUE CURED	TURKEYS
PRUNES	TOBACCO MARYLAND	
PSYLLIUM	TOBACCO VIRGINIA FIRE CURED	
PUMMELO	TOMATILLOS	
PUMPKINS	TOMATOES	
QUINCES	TREES TIMBER	
QUINOA	TRITICALE	
RADISHES	TRUFFLES	
RAISINS	TURNIPS	
RAMBUTAN	VETCH	
RAPESEED	WALNUTS	
RHUBARB	WAMPEE	
RICE	WASABI	
RICE SWEET	WATERMELON	
RICE WILD	WAX JAMBOO FRUIT	
RUTABAGA	WHEAT	
RYE	WILLOW SHRUB	
SAFFLOWER	WINTER MELON	
SAPODILLA	WOLFBERRY/GOJI	
SAPOTE	YAM	
SCALLIONS		
SESAME		
SHALLOTS		
SORGHUM		
SORGHUM DUAL PURPOSE		
SORGHUM FORAGE		
SOYBEANS		
SPELT		
SQUASH		
STAR GOOSEBERRY		

Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities

Additional Specific Terms and Conditions

February 2023

I. Overarching Statement

The following award terms and conditions are applicable to Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities agreements and are in addition to the USDA FPAC General Terms and Conditions. The award recipient must abide by all terms of this grant including, but not limited to, the General Terms and Conditions, the terms in the Funding Opportunity and associated Frequently Asked Questions, and this addendum. The recipient must also deliver on the planned objectives in the project narrative and budget narrative associated with this grant.

II. Eligibility and Highly Erodible Lands and Wetlands Compliance

In order to be eligible for an incentive payment as a part of the Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities, a producer must:

- Establish Farm Records with the Farm Service Agency (FSA) (have farm, tract, and field numbers in place);
- Complete an AD-2047 (Customer Data Worksheet to facilitate the collection of customer data for Business Partner Record);
- Certify highly erodible land conservation (HEL) and wetland conservation (WC) compliance via Form AD-1026, Highly Erodible Land Conservation (HELC) and Wetland Conservation (WC) Certification; and
- Certify that they are not a foreign person or entity.

Farm, tract, and field numbers are required for the producer, and ultimately the Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities recipient, to report climate-smart practice implementation to USDA, as well as to certify and maintain HELC/WC compliance. This will require that some producers who do not already have these numbers, like perennial crop growers or feedlots, establish these records with USDA's FSA. Farm, tract, field numbers, producer name, and Core Customer I.D. (CCID) will be provided by the recipient to the National Program Officer as a part of routine grant reporting. Recipients must ensure that producers receiving financial assistance or incentives through this project use the same name as is included in the relevant FSA Business File for that Farm ID in any contracts or similar documentation kept by the recipient.

Producers are not bound by the payment limitations and the adjusted gross income (AGI) limitations that are in place for other USDA programs.

In order to demonstrate HELC/WC compliance for Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities incentive payments, producers will need to request a copy of their subsidiary print from their

USDA FSA field office. The Subsidiary Print includes print year specific eligibility related information about a selected producer. The producer will then provide this documentation to the Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities recipients as proof of compliance. A current year subsidiary print will be required for each crop year that the producer receives a payment, and HELC/WC eligibility information is provided under the AD-1026 and Conservation Compliance sections of subsidiary (determined by year, which can change at any time during the year or in a subsequent year). As is the case already, field offices will not be expected to provide documentation to anyone besides the producer themselves (and must always comply with Section 1619 limitations if they ever do provide documentation to third parties). Producers must have control of the land for the term of their beneficiary contract.

Recipients are responsible for determining producer eligibility within the funding opportunity requirements. Recipients must inform producers of eligibility requirements and direct them to local USDA offices for requested information as necessary, including but not limited to, farm and tract establishment and Highly Erodible Land and Wetland Compliance determinations. Privacy of producers is a priority throughout this process, and recipients are responsible for maintaining producer privacy in the process.

At minimum, the recipient will collect and review subsidiary reports from participating producers. They will ensure that the producer is listed as “compliant” in all sections of the conservation compliance portion of subsidiary and “certified” for AD-1026 before an incentive payment is made. If payments to a producer span more than one Federal fiscal year, the recipient will review an updated subsidiary print each fiscal year to ensure that the status is still compliant.

III. Other Environmental and Cultural Resources Reviews

A Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) was signed by USDA NRCS on August 26, 2022. A copy of the Programmatic Environmental Assessment for Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities is available at www.usda.gov/climate-smart-commodities. USDA may determine that additional environmental and cultural resources review is needed for any particular action under Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities. The recipient must not execute any beneficiary contracts under this grant agreement prior to receipt of a letter from USDA that specifically details:

- 1) further procedures deemed appropriate by the Agency to ensure a completed National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) review and all appropriate consultation requirements are met, and
- 2) additional instructions for any unanticipated discoveries or conditions.

A resolution of support is required for projects on Tribal lands from the governing body of the Tribe with jurisdiction over that land, if the applicant is not the Tribe nor an entity owned or

operated by that Tribe. USDA may approve alternative documentation for resolutions when USDA deems necessary and legally sufficient.

IV. Producer Benefits

USDA encourages the recipient to disclose to participating producers the manner and amount for which any market premiums derived from the development of the relevant climate-smart commodity will be shared between participating parties, including producers. USDA will be monitoring producer benefits, in particular those to small and underserved producers, throughout the grant period. Recipients agree that their project(s) will implement a plan for engaging small and underserved producers as laid out in this agreement.

V. Producer Data Protection and Disclosure

Recipients must ensure each producer has convenient access to any data collected from that producer or the producer's land and any associated modeling as part of the project. The recipient must provide each producer applying for benefits under this grant a description in writing of how their information, including but not limited to data about their farm and commodities, will be utilized, protected and shared as applicable.

VI. Other Data and Reporting Requirements

In addition to the reporting information provided in the statement of work and General Terms and Conditions, USDA will provide a template for the Detailed Progress Report, also known as the Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities (PSCS) Project Reporting Workbook. Within 30 calendar days of execution of this grant, a copy of this workbook will be posted at www.usda.gov/climate-smart-commodities or an alternative location provided to the recipient by the National Program Officer. USDA may provide updates to the PCSC Project Reporting Workbook or submission methods to streamline the data collection process and/or reduce the burden on the recipient throughout the grant period. Generally, these updates will be provided at least 3 months in advance of any required changes. The recipient must not transfer any data to foreign governments or foreign entities without prior approval from USDA.

USDA will provide a Technical Contact for this grant. The Technical Contact will have the responsibility of technical oversight for USDA for the project. The recipient is responsible for providing the technical assistance required to successfully implement and complete the project. The recipient must comply with any requests for information from the Technical Contact. The Technical Contact for this award is the National Program Officer assigned to this grant.

Prior to execution of this grant, the recipient must provide a shapefile depicting the project boundary for enrollment under this grant. Producer enrollment may not occur outside this boundary without modification of this grant.

Within 30 calendar days of execution of this grant, the recipient must provide to the National Program Officer a website address where enrollment information will be posted for producers for the project associated with this grant. Recipients will be responsible for the following reports:

- Submit quarterly performance reports that include a written progress report, as well as additional reporting on specific data elements contained in the most up-to-date version of the Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Project Reporting Workbook. Additional information about each reported element is described in the Data Dictionary.
- Submit supplemental reports required to validate greenhouse gas (GHG) benefit data, including: (1) an initial project MMRV plan, (2) field-modeled GHG benefit reports, and (3) field-direct GHG measurement results, as applicable. Additional information about these reports is included in the Data Dictionary.
- Submit copies of project outputs and deliverables (e.g., fact sheets, reports) as attachments in ezFedGrants along with quarterly performance reports.
- Report the version of COMET-Planner used to estimate GHG benefits of the project within each quarterly performance report. As COMET-Planner is updated, recipients must adopt the latest version of the tool as directed by USDA for use in performance reports.

Recipients must designate an individual as a member of the USDA Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Learning Network (Partnerships Network); this representative should be identified in the Project Narrative for this grant. Each project includes a plan for up to two Partnerships Network virtual meetings and two in-person meetings a year during the project duration. Dates and other details on events will be posted at www.usda.gov/climate-smart-commodities or an alternative location provided to the recipient by the National Program Officer.

The Partnerships Network will be co-chaired by representative from the USDA Office of the Chief Economist and the Farm Production and Conservation Mission Area. The Partnerships Network will inform synthesis reports to be assembled by USDA on a range of topics related to the implementation of Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities projects, including:

- Lessons-learned as projects are implemented;
- Options for providing technical assistance;
- Procedures for measurement/quantification, monitoring, reporting, and verifying GHG benefits;
- Options for tracing climate-smart commodities through the supply chain;
- Mechanisms for reducing costs of implementation;
- A forum for discussion and learning regarding approaches to climate-smart agriculture and forestry implementation (including but not limited to deployment and

measurement/quantification, monitoring, reporting, tracking, and verification of associated greenhouse gas benefits and marketing of climate-smart commodities).

- Synthesis of outcomes; and
- Opportunities for USDA and others to inform future approaches to generating new and expanded markets for climate-smart commodities.

The Partnerships Network topics to be discussed will cover at minimum the areas described in previous FAQs and will evolve with USDA's ongoing project data analysis efforts and with input from the project recipients on the kinds of sessions that will be most helpful to them in building the diverse climate-smart markets associated with their projects. Participation may include at least one interview a year and include questions related to the following areas:

- Technical assistance approaches, methods, and successes and/or challenges
- Producer outreach approaches, methods, and successes and/or challenges
- Monitoring, measurement, reporting, and verification (MMRV) approaches, methods, and successes and/or challenges
- Marketing approaches, methods, and successes and/or challenges
- Partnership approaches, methods, and successes and/or challenges
- Data collection and storage approaches, methods, and successes and/or challenges
- Supply chain approaches, methods and successes and/or challenges, including approaches to traceability
- Supply chain benefits and demand for climate-smart commodities
- Perspectives on program design, climate-smart commodity definitions, and future approaches or opportunities
- Project successes and stories

USDA may also request producer exit reports at a later date. Additional marketing and branding-related requirements may be provided by USDA, including signage related to Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities.

VII. Competition and Anti-Competitive Practices

In connection with this grant, recipients may not prohibit or otherwise limit a producer from changing the provider of other services or materials not included as part of this grant.

Recipients may not condition, limit, steer, or discriminate in their provision or sale of non-project business functions or products to producers based on their participation or non-participation in or use of any services provided as part of this grant. Additionally, funds in this agreement shall not be used for purposes or activities related to mergers or acquisitions.

VIII. Suspension and Disbarment

The provisions governing Suspension and Disbarment in subsection 1.a.8 shall also apply to fraud, embezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, falsification, or destruction of records, making false statements, or violations of the Federal civil antitrust or unfair trade practice laws.

IX. Special provisions for awards to for-profit entities as recipients

This section contains provisions that apply to awards to for-profit entities. These provisions are in addition to other applicable provisions of these terms and conditions, or they make exceptions from other provisions of the terms and conditions for awards to for-profit entities. For-profit entities that receive awards have two options regarding audits:

- 1) A financial related audit of a particular award in accordance with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, in those cases where the for-profit entity receives awards under only one USDA program; or, if awards are received under multiple USDA programs, a financial related audit of all awards in accordance with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; or
- 2) An audit that meets the requirements contained in 2 CFR 200 subpart F.

For-profit entities that receive annual awards totaling less than the audit requirement threshold in 2 CFR 200 subpart F are exempt from USDA audit requirements for that year, but records must be available for review by appropriate officials of Federal agencies or the Government Accountability Office.

X. Non-Disparagement

Recipients may not engage in any advertising deemed by USDA as disparaging to another agricultural commodity or competing product, or in violation of the prohibition against false and misleading advertising. Disparagement is defined as anything that depicts other commodities in a negative or unpleasant light via overt or subjective video, photography, or statements. Comparative advertising is allowable, provided the presentation of facts is truthful, objective, not misleading, and supported by a reasonable basis.